## EXHIBIT 28



## Transcript of the Deposition of **Kathaleen Lanahan**

**Case:** James Fletcher, Jr. v. Jerome Bogucki, et al. **Taken On:** June 7, 2024

Royal Reporting Services, Inc. Phone:312.361.8851

Email:info@royalreportingservices.com Website: www.royalreportingservices.com

IN THE UNITED STATE NORTHERN DISTE EASTERN I	RICT OF ILLINOIS	Page 1
EASTEINN I	DIVIDION	
JAMES FLETCHER JR.,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-	) ) No. 20 CV 4768	
JEROME BOGUCKI, ANTHONY NORADIN, RAYMOND SCHALK, ANTHONY WOJCIK, UNKNOWN CITY OF CHICAGO POLICE OFFICERS, and the CITY OF CHICAGO,	) ) ) ) ) ) )	
Defendants.	) )	

The deposition of KATHALEEN LANAHAN taken via videoconference, called by the Defendants for examination, pursuant to notice and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken before Emma Sheehy, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, commencing at the hour of 10:00 a.m. on the 7th day of June, 2024.

```
Page 2
     A P P E A R A N C E S: (Via videoconference)
 1
 2
         LOEVY & LOEVY
         MR. SEAN STARR
 3
         311 North Aberdeen Street, 3rd Floor
         Chicago, Illinois 60607
 4
         312.243.5900
         sean@loevy.com
 5
              On behalf of the Plaintiff;
 6
 7
         HALE & MONICO, LLC
         MR. BRIAN J. STEFANICH
 8
         53 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 334
         Chicago, Illinois 60604
 9
         312.341.9646
         bstefanich@halemonico.com
10
              On behalf of the Defendants,
              Jerome Bogucki, Anthony Noradin,
11
              Raymond Schalk, Anthony Wojcik;
12
13
         BURNS NOLAND
         MS. DHAVIELLA N. HARRIS
14
         311 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5200
         Chicago, Illinois 60606
         312.982.0090
15
         dharris@burnsnoland.com
16
              On behalf of the Defendant,
              City of Chicago;
17
18
         OBERTS GALASSO LAW GROUP
19
         MR. WILLIAM B. OBERTS
         161 North Clark Street, Suite 1600
         Chicago, Illinois 60601
20
         312.741.1024
21
         wboberts@obertsgalasso.com
22
              On behalf of the Deponent.
23
24
```

## Case: 1:20-cv-04768 Document #: 184-28 Filed: 05/06/25 Page 5 of 84 PageID #:6391

		Page	3
1	INDEX	J	
2			
3	THE TOTAL OF THE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TWO THE COLUM		
4	WITNESS EXAMINATION		
5	KATHALEEN LANAHAN		
6	By Mr. Stefanich 4 By Mr. Starr 27		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	EXHIBITS		
13	DEPOSITION EXHIBIT MARKED FOR ID		
14			
15	Exhibit No. 1 10 Exhibit No. 2 12		
16	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 66		
17			
18			
19	Exhibits retained by Counsel.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

	Page 4
1	(Witness duly sworn.)
2	KATHALEEN LANAHAN,
3	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
4	testified via videoconference as follows:
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. STEFANICH:
7	Q. Good morning, Judge. My name is
8	Brian Stefanich. I represent four police officers
9	in this case; Detectives Bogucki, Schalk, Noradin,
10	and Sergeant Wojcik.
11	Could you please state your name for the
12	record.
13	A. Kathaleen Lanahan.
14	Q. And you're currently a judge; is that
15	correct?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. How long have you been a judge?
18	A. Five and a half years.
19	Q. Where are you currently assigned?
20	A. I'm assigned to the Fifth District.
21	That's Bridgeview.
22	Q. What types of cases do you hear in
23	Bridgeview?
24	A. I do the problem-solving courts, which are

Page 5 mental health court, drug court, and veterans court. 1 2 And then I do, you know, misdemeanor, traffic, 3 domestic violence. I fill in in other courtrooms. 4 But I primarily do problem-solving court. 5 At some point before you were a judge, 0. 6 you were an assistant state's attorney for the Cook 7 County State's Attorney's Office; is that correct? 8 Α. That's correct. 9 When did you begin at the Cook County State's Q. 10 Attorney's Office? 11 February of 1994. Α. 12 And how long were you an assistant state's Q. 13 attorney? Approximately 25 years. 14 Α. 15 Q. I want to talk about your time at the 16 state's attorney's office in April of 2002. 17 Do you recall where you were assigned in the state's attorney's office in April 2002? 18 19 Α. I was assigned to Branch 66, which is the 2.0 homicide section. 21 And can you describe what Branch 66 is? Q. 22 Branch 66 prepares cases for indictment. Α. 23 So once the cases are approved by felony review, they 24 go to Branch 66 to be indicted. And in the process of

Page 6

indicting cases, you also talk to witnesses, victims, and you may present them to the grand jury. And then, of course, present the case to the grand jury for the indictment.

- Q. Okay. Is there, like, a normal court call for Branch 66, like a daily court call?
- A. There is. It was in the afternoon,
  I believe.
- Q. And, typically, what would happen at the daily court call in Branch 66?

MR. OBERTS: Object to vague; overbroad.

BY MR. STEFANICH:

O. You can answer.

A. Basically, the cases were either -- the cases, when they initially came in, were given a court date. And then the case is either indicted by that date, and, you know, the preliminary -- the municipal number would have been superseded by indictment, or, you know -- I can't remember exactly what it was, if we would do two or three weeks. But if you needed some more time for some -- you know, it could have just been a continuance -- continuance on the call. But that's about it. And, occasionally, there would be -- there had been -- occasionally, there would be

Page 7 1 a prelim in Branch 66, but very rarely. 2 Okay. So more often than not, it would just 3 be a direct indictment and not a prelim? 4 Α. Correct. 5 And Branch 66, when you would get the case in 0. 6 Branch 66, the case would still be under the municipal 7 number? 8 Α. Correct. 9 And then it wouldn't get a, I guess, felony Q. 10 CR number until either the prelim was granted or there 11 was an indictment? 12 Α. Correct. 13 When a case came into Branch 66, would there 14 be, I quess, like, a small court file that would come 15 in with it? 16 MR. OBERTS: Vaque; overbroad. 17 MR. STARR: Objection to form; foundation. THE WITNESS: The -- no. I mean, we didn't 18 19 get a court file, no. 2.0 BY MR. STEFANICH: 21 Okay. Would there be any sort of file Q. 22 that you would look at when a case would come into 23 Branch 66? 24 Α. The felony --

```
Page 8
 1
              MR. OBERTS: Vaque; overbroad.
              THE WITNESS:
 2
                           Sorry. The felony review file
 3
     may come to Branch 66.
 4
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
 5
              Okay. Do you remember doing any work on
         Q.
 6
     James Fletcher's criminal case in April or May of
 7
     2002?
              I do not. I have no --
 8
         Α.
 9
         Q.
              Okay.
10
              MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the
11
     rest of her answer. You cut out. I don't know if
12
     it's just my computer or yours.
13
              THE WITNESS: No independent recollection.
14
              MR. STARR:
                          Thank you.
15
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
16
              Mr. Fletcher also went by the alias of
         Q.
17
     Arnold Dixon.
18
              Does that name jog any memory for you?
19
         Α.
              No.
20
         Q.
              Judge, do you have any documents about the
21
     Fletcher case in front of you?
22
              I was provided some documents, yes.
23
     They're here --
24
         Q.
              Do you have them in the back or with you
```

Page 9 1 right now? 2 I have them to the side here. 3 Oh, okay. Do you have the criminal 4 complaint? 5 Α. I do. 6 Q. It might just be easier if you look 7 at it as opposed to me trying to share my screen. 8 MR. STARR: Brian, I don't mean to interrupt 9 you, but you didn't provide any exhibits in advance 10 of this deposition, as far as I know. 11 Do you intend to identify stuff so I can 12 find it or share your screen? I mean, we've commonly 13 exchanged exhibits, but I didn't see any come in this morning. 14 15 MR. STEFANICH: Sure. So I can give you the 16 Bates stamp, Sean, or I can try to share my screen. You know that generally doesn't work for me, but... 17 18 MR. STARR: I've been trying to get you 19 to learn how to do it because it's really not that 20 complicated. So I think if you share your screen, 21 that would be helpful for everyone on the Zoom, but... 22 MR. STEFANICH: Sure. I will see what 23 happens. 24 BY MR. STEFANICH:

Page 10 1 Q. Judge, do you see on the screen here the 2 criminal complaint? 3 Α. I do. 4 Q. Okay. 5 MR. STEFANICH: Sean, do you? 6 MR. STARR: Yeah. Do you mind identifying 7 the Bates as well, Brian? 8 MR. STEFANICH: Sure. Yeah. So let's 9 mark this as Exhibit Number 1. It's Bates-stamped Fletcher 6926. 10 11 MR. STARR: Thank you. 12 MR. STEFANICH: And this is a copy of the 13 criminal complaint in People versus James Fletcher. 14 BY MR. STEFANICH: 15 Judge, is this your signature where it says, Q. 16 complainant signature? 17 My signature. It's my handwriting, yes. Α. 18 Okay. And is it your handwriting for the Q. 19 entirety of this document where there's handwritten 20 notations? 21 It is. Α. 22 Okay. And you're the complainant on this Q. 23 criminal complaint; is that correct? 24 Α. Correct.

Page 11 1 Q. And it looks like the criminal complaint 2 was filed on April 18, 2002; is that correct? 3 MR. OBERTS: Foundation. THE WITNESS: Correct. 4 5 BY MR. STEFANICH: 6 Do you know why you're the complainant for Q. 7 Mr. Fletcher's criminal case? 8 MR. STARR: Objection, foundation. 9 MR. OBERTS: Objection, foundation, 10 and to the extent it calls for her personal mental impression. 11 12 THE WITNESS: I don't. 13 BY MR. STEFANICH: 14 Was that typical for your time in Branch 66 Q. 15 where you would be a complainant in a criminal case? 16 MR. OBERTS: Objection to vaque; overbroad. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: It was not. 18 19 BY MR. STEFANICH: 20 Q. Do you have -- do you know what, if any, 21 documents you would have reviewed prior to filling 22 out this criminal complaint? 23 Objection; form, foundation. MR. STARR: 24 MR. OBERTS: Speculation.

```
Page 12
 1
              Go ahead.
 2
              THE WITNESS: I don't know what documents
 3
     I reviewed.
 4
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
 5
              Do you know who ASA Jennifer Walker is?
         0.
 6
         Α.
              I do.
 7
              Do you recall ever speaking with ASA Walker
 8
     about Mr. Fletcher's criminal case?
 9
         Α.
              No.
10
              MR. STARR: Objection; form, foundation,
11
     speculation.
12
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
13
              I'll represent to you that ASA Walker was
14
     the felony review attorney in Mr. Fletcher's case.
15
              Would you have spoken to ASA Walker, the
16
     felony review assistant state's attorney, prior to
17
     filling out this complaint?
18
                           Same objections.
              MR. STARR:
19
              THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
2.0
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
21
              Judge, do you see a report of proceedings on
         Q.
2.2
     the Zoom screen there?
23
         Α.
              I do.
24
              Okay. We'll mark this as Exhibit Number 2.
         Q.
```

Page 13 1 This is a transcript of a report of proceedings from 2 April 18 -- the transcript says 2004, but I think 3 that's a scrivener's error. I think it should be 4 2002. And this is in Branch 66. The judge was 5 Kevin Sheehan. 6 Judge, do you recall Judge Sheehan being in 7 Branch 66 in 2002? 8 Α. I do. 9 And you're listed as the assistant state's Q. 10 attorney on this transcript representing the State in 11 this matter; is that correct? 12 Α. I am, yes. 13 0. Did you review this transcript prior to 14 today's deposition? 15 I did. Α. 16 Did reviewing this transcript refresh your Q. 17 recollection at all about anything with Mr. Fletcher's 18 criminal case? 19 Α. No. 20 Q. I turned to page 2, which is Bates stamp Fletcher 6877. 21 22 And the last paragraph here, Judge, you state 23 that he is here -- "he" being Mr. Fletcher -- is here 24 today on a murder warrant.

Page 14 1 Do you see that? 2 Α. I do. 3 Can you describe what a -- is a murder 0. 4 warrant the same thing as an arrest warrant? 5 It's an arrest warrant for the Α. Correct. 6 charge of murder. Right. 7 Okay. Got it. Q. 8 MR. STARR: Brian, can you identify the 9 entire range of this transcript? 10 MR. STEFANICH: Sure. It's Fletcher 6876 through Fletcher 6880. 11 12 MR. STARR: Thank you. 13 BY MR. STEFANICH: 14 Judge, going back to page 2, you tell the 0. Court that we're asking that it be executed -- "it" 15 16 being the murder warrant -- that he be held no bail, 17 and that he be remanded at Cook County Jail to stand 18 in a lineup. 19 Do you see that? 20 T do. Α. 21 Based on this transcript, do you think that 2.2 the murder warrant or the arrest warrant hadn't been 23 executed on this date? 24 MR. STARR: Objection; form, foundation.

Page 15 MR. OBERTS: Objection to her thoughts and 1 2 her thought -- her mental impression and work product. 3 However, I don't object to asking factually --4 factually -- question factually for factual 5 information, but as far as her thoughts or her mental 6 impression and ask her not to provide her work product 7 or mental impression. 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I am -- I mean, I'm 9 asking that it be executed. He's coming from IDOC. 10 I guess we're asking that it be executed, so he will 11 be held in the county jail for lineup. I mean, I 12 think it kind of speaks for itself. I guess I'm not 13 sure what you're asking. BY MR. STEFANICH: 14 15 Q. Yeah. I guess, procedurally -- I'm trying 16 to ask procedurally if you know sort of what -- what 17 occurred, because my understanding was the murder 18 warrant was signed in March. 19 So, I guess, procedurally, if the murder 20 warrant hadn't been executed, how would he have -- how 21 would Mr. Fletcher have gotten to -- gotten to court? 22 Objection; form and foundation. MR. STARR: 23 Is that your question right now? MR. OBERTS: 24 MR. STEFANICH: Yeah.

Page 16 1 Objection as far as speculation MR. OBERTS: 2 and judge's work product, mental impressions. 3 she could answer factually or if you have a factual 4 question, I wouldn't object. But to her thoughts or 5 impression or speculation of what may or may not have 6 happened without foundation, I object to that. 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- I don't know. 8 I mean, the -- they issued a warrant because he was 9 in IDOC and then writ him over for the warrant to be 10 executed to stand in a lineup. I mean, I don't 11 know --12 BY MR. STEFANICH: 13 0. I see. So ---- what you're asking more than that. 14 Α. 15 I think I understand. I think I was Q. No. 16 missing the State would have writted him over. 17 So thank you for that clarification. 18 On page 4 of this transcript, you inform 19 the Court that the lineup is to happen on Saturday. 2.0 Do you see that? 21 Α. I do. 22 Do you have -- do you know where you would 23 have received that information? 24 MR. STARR: Objection; form, foundation,

```
Page 17
 1
     speculation.
 2
              MR. OBERTS:
                            Join.
 3
              THE WITNESS: I don't. I mean, I'm quessing
 4
     the detectives, but --
 5
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
 6
         Q.
              Okay.
 7
              -- I don't know who else would be -- I mean,
 8
     they're the people who would be running the lineup,
 9
     so -- but I -- but do I remember? No.
10
         Q.
              Do you remember Detective Bogucki?
11
         Α.
              I don't.
                        I don't know him. I mean, I'm sure
12
     I met him at some point if he was involved in this
13
     case and he came to court on this case, but I can't
     tell you for certain. He's not --
14
15
              What about --
         Q.
16
         Α.
              -- someone I regularly worked with or knew.
17
              What about Detective Schalk, Ray Schalk?
         Q.
18
                           Objection to form.
              MR. OBERTS:
19
              THE WITNESS: I don't --
2.0
              MR. OBERTS: Go ahead.
21
              THE WITNESS: I don't think so.
2.2
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
23
              Judge, I'm going to pull up another
24
     transcript of Sheenee Friend's grand jury testimony.
```

```
Page 18
 1
              Judge, do you see here that this appears
 2
     to be a transcript of grand jury testimony of
 3
     Sheenee Friend?
 4
         Α.
              I do.
 5
              Did you review this transcript prior to
         Q.
 6
     today's deposition?
 7
         Α.
              I did.
 8
              MR. OBERTS: Brian, do you have a Bates
 9
     stamp?
10
              MR. STARR: Bill, what did you say?
11
     I'm sorry.
12
              MR. OBERTS: Brian, can you give a Bates
13
     stamp?
14
              MR. STARR:
                           Okay.
15
              MR. STEFANICH: It's Fletcher 474 through
     Fletcher 489.
16
17
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
18
              Did reviewing this grand jury testimony
19
     refresh your recollection at all about Mr. Fletcher's
20
     criminal case?
21
         Α.
              No.
22
         Q.
              Do you recall Ms. Friend?
         Α.
23
              No.
24
         Q.
              Based on your review of the grand jury
```

Page 19 1 testimony, is it fair to say that Ms. Friend 2 identified and testified that Mr. Fletcher was one 3 of the offenders in this murder in front of the grand 4 jury? 5 MR. OBERTS: Object to foundation, 6 speculation, and to the extent it calls for her mental 7 impression. But factually, I -- she can answer it if 8 she has the ability. 9 THE WITNESS: That's what I read in the 10 transcript. 11 MR. STARR: Belated objection to form and 12 foundation. I apologize. My Internet is a little 13 spotty this morning. BY MR. STEFANICH: 14 15 Q. And, Judge, you were the ASA who presented 16 Ms. Friend to the grand jury; is that correct? 17 Α. Correct. 18 In the transcript on page that's Bates 19 stamped Fletcher 480, you show Ms. Friend a photograph 20 that you mark as People's Exhibit 1. 21 Do you see that? 22 MR. OBERTS: Foundation. 23 THE WITNESS: I do. 24 BY MR. STEFANICH:

Page 20 1 Q. Okay. Do you recall where you would have 2 received that photograph? 3 MR. STARR: Form; foundation. 4 MR. OBERTS: Join. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't. 6 BY MR. STEFANICH: 7 And, Judge, on page that's marked 8 Fletcher 486 at the line that starts line 22, you 9 ask Ms. Friend about an interview that she had with 10 ASA Walker, Detectives Bogucki and Schalk on March 9, 2002. 11 12 Do you see that? 13 MR. OBERTS: Foundation; speculation. Go ahead. 14 15 THE WITNESS: I do. BY MR. STEFANICH: 16 17 Okay. And you marked as People's Exhibit 2 18 Ms. Friend's handwritten statement that she gave to 19 ASA Walker. 20 Do you see that? MR. OBERTS: Foundation; speculation. 21 22 THE WITNESS: I do. 23 BY MR. STEFANICH: 24 Q. Okay. You asked Ms. Friend at the grand

```
Page 21
 1
     jury how she had been treated by the police and the
 2
     assistant state's attorney; is that correct?
 3
              MR. OBERTS: Foundation; speculation.
 4
              MR. STARR: Join.
 5
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
 6
         Q.
              And how did Ms. Friend respond?
 7
              MR. OBERTS: Objection; foundation,
 8
     speculation.
 9
              MR. STARR: Join.
10
              THE WITNESS: The transcript says, I was
11
     treated real good.
12
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
13
              You also asked Ms. Friend if anyone
14
     threatened her or in any way promised her anything in
15
     return for her handwritten statement.
16
              Do you see that?
17
         Α.
              I do.
18
                           Foundation; speculation.
              MR. OBERTS:
19
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
2.0
         Q.
              And how did Ms. Friend respond?
21
              MR. OBERTS: Objection; foundation,
22
     speculation.
23
              MR. STARR: Join.
24
              THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
```

Page 22 1 BY MR. STEFANICH: 2 Was it your practice to speak with civilian 3 witnesses prior to putting them in front of the grand 4 jury? 5 Α. Yes. 6 And would it be your practice to go over Q. 7 their testimony with them? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Did Ms. Friend ever tell you that she Ο. 10 identified someone other than Mr. Fletcher as one of the offenders in a photo array and that the police 11 12 told her to pick out Fletcher? 13 MR. STARR: Objection to form; foundation; calls for speculation. 14 15 THE WITNESS: I have no idea based on the 16 transcript, but I would have addressed that had she told me something like that. 17 18 BY MR. STEFANICH: 19 Would you have -- how would you have Q. 20 addressed it? 21 MR. OBERTS: Objection; speculation, 22 foundation, incomplete hypothetical. 23 MR. STARR: Join. 24 THE WITNESS: I don't remember in this --

```
Page 23
 1
     I don't remember her saying anything like that in
 2
     this case.
 3
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
 4
         Q.
              Okay. Do you recall or remember Ms. Friend
 5
     ever telling you that when she went to the police
 6
     station for an in-person lineup, that the police told
 7
     her to pick out who they had pointed out in the photo
 8
     array?
 9
              MR. STARR: Form; foundation; speculation.
10
              THE WITNESS: No.
11
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
12
              Judge, on the screen now is a copy of a
         Q.
13
     Polaroid of Ms. Friend.
14
              Does seeing this photograph refresh your
     recollection at all about Ms. Friend?
15
16
              MR. STARR: Brian, can we get the Bates on
17
     that, please?
18
              MR. STEFANICH: It is Bates Fletcher Evidence
19
     Inspection 96.
20
              THE WITNESS: It does not.
     BY MR. STEFANICH:
21
22
         Q.
              Okay.
23
                         Brian, you cut out for me.
              MR. STARR:
24
     Was that 96; did you say?
```

Page 24 1 MR. STEFANICH: Yeah. Fletcher Evidence 2 Inspection 96. 3 MR. STARR: Okay. Thank you. 4 BY MR. STEFANICH: 5 Judge, on the screen here is another 0. 6 transcript of a grand jury proceeding in this case. 7 The witness's name is Terry Rogers. The Bates stamp 8 range is Fletcher 7762 through 7768. 9 Do you see the, I guess, first page of this 10 transcript on your screen, Judge? 11 Α. I do. 12 Okay. And based on the transcript, Q. 13 it appears that you were the ASA that presented 14 Mr. Rogers to the grand jury; is that correct? 15 That's correct. Α. 16 Do you have any recollection of Mr. Rogers? Q. I do not. 17 Α. 18 Did you review this transcript prior to Q. 19 today's deposition? 2.0 Α. I did not. I didn't receive that transcript. 21 Okay. On page 7765, lines 17 through 20, Q. 22 you ask Mr. Rogers, on line 17, and what did you see 23 happen; is that correct? 24 Α. That's correct.

Page 25 1 MR. OBERTS: Speculation; foundation. 2 BY MR. STEFANICH: 3 And Mr. Rogers answered, well, Jimmy Fletcher 4 upped the gun from his backside, and there was some 5 qunfire exchanged; Edward Cooper ran to his truck and 6 got his gun. 7 Do you see that? 8 MR. OBERTS: Object to speculation --9 well, if you can see that, no -- no objection. 10 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 BY MR. STEFANICH: 12 Okay. Would you have, based on your Q. 13 practice, spoken with Mr. Rogers prior to his grand 14 jury testimony? 15 MR. STARR: Form; foundation; speculation. THE WITNESS: I have -- I don't recall 16 17 speaking to him, but if -- I would have spoken to him. 18 That would be my practice to speak to all witnesses 19 before I brought them in to the grand jury. 2.0 BY MR. STEFANICH: 21 And, again, if we go to page Fletcher 7767, Q. 22 you ask Mr. Rogers about speaking with Assistant 23 State's Attorney Jennifer Walker and Detective 24 Noradin. And based on this transcript, it appears

Page 26 that he also had -- he also gave a statement to

- ASA Walker. Is that a fair summary of this portion of
- the grand jury testimony?
  - He answers yes, that he had spoken to them. Α.
- I'm going to show you a picture Q. Okay. of Mr. Rogers. Let's see if that refreshes your recollection.
- MR. STEFANICH: And, Sean, this is Bates stamped Fletcher Evidence Inspection 93.
- 11 BY MR. STEFANICH:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Judge, does this refresh your recollection Q. at all about Mr. Rogers?
- It does not. Α.
  - Judge, you mentioned before that there would Q. be times that the felony review file or felony review folder would be available to you in Branch 66; is that correct?
    - Α. Yes.
  - A case like Mr. Fletcher's where witnesses Q. gave statements to the felony review assistant, would those statements also be available to you in Branch 66 court?
    - MR. STARR: Form; foundation; calls for

```
Page 27
1
     speculation.
2
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
3
              MR. STEFANICH: I don't have any other
4
     questions, Judge. Mr. Starr might have some questions
5
     for you.
6
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
7
              MR. STARR: I do have a few questions.
8
                          EXAMINATION
9
     BY MR. STARR:
10
         Q.
              Good morning, your Honor. My name is Sean
11
             I represent the plaintiff, James Fletcher,
12
     in this matter. Thanks for being here today.
13
              You previously testified that in some
14
     circumstances, you would receive the felony review
15
     file or folder when you were presenting a case before
16
     the grand jury; is that correct?
17
         Α.
              Correct.
              Was it also the case that there were
18
19
     instances when you would not receive that folder
2.0
     or file?
21
              MR. OBERTS:
                           Foundation; speculation.
22
              Go ahead.
23
              THE WITNESS: I think there could have been.
24
     Usually, you would have the felony review folder, but
```

Page 28 if -- if it was an ongoing investigation, I don't --1 2 I don't remember if the folders were there generally 3 or not, if they went back and forth or not. 4 sure. BY MR. STARR: 5 6 Q. In addition to the folder, were there any 7 other documents that you were routinely provided in 8 advance of presenting a case before the grand jury? 9 Α. Whatever reports were available at the time 10 would be in the folder. 11 Okay. So is it -- in some circumstances, Q. 12 you may have received police reports; is that correct? 13 Α. Maybe, yes. 14 In other circumstances, you may not have 0. 15 received police reports, correct? 16 MR. OBERTS: Speculation; foundation. Go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: As to this case, I don't know 18 19 what I received, but yes. 2.0 BY MR. STARR: 21 And if you were not presenting a witness Q. 22 who was a -- who was the police officer involved in 23 the underlying investigation, would you generally have 24 access to those police officers?

```
Page 29
 1
              MR. OBERTS: Objection; speculation,
 2
     foundation.
 3
              Go ahead.
 4
              THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you're
 5
     asking, but, I mean, my first -- I would say no.
 6
     I mean -- I quess I don't understand your question.
     BY MR. STARR:
 7
 8
                     Let me clarify it. I apologize if
         Q.
              Yeah.
 9
     that wasn't clear.
10
              So when you were presenting a case before
11
     the grand jury that was investigated by the Chicago
12
     Police Department that had detectives who worked the
13
     investigation up to the point in advance of coming
14
     to the state's attorney's office, if you were not
15
     presenting any of those police officers as witnesses,
16
     would you generally have access to those police
17
     officers in terms of asking them, you know, about
18
     the investigation or interviewing them about their --
19
     about their investigation?
20
              MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.
21
              MR. OBERTS: Objection, vague with regards
22
     to "access." Objection, foundation and speculation.
23
              But go ahead.
24
                                    I guess "access" is --
              THE WITNESS: Yeah.
```

Page 30

I'm not sure what you mean. I mean, they're -- if they're -- if they're coming to the grand jury on a case, I would see them to talk to them. I could pick up a phone if I had a question. You know -- I mean, you would generally see detectives involved in a case in the grand jury at some point. Was it for every witness? Was it when it first came in? Was it when they came to indict the case? You know, it could be any of those times. And most times, there was -- on a murder, there's, you know, more than one detective, and -- so it could be any number of people working on the case, I guess.

BY MR. STARR:

- Q. In circumstances -- for instance, a homicide case that you were presenting before the grand jury, you testified previously that you would -- it was your practice to interview witnesses before you put them on the stand, correct?
  - A. Before I brought them to the grand jury, yes.
- Q. And would you interview those witnesses in conjunction with the detectives who were investigating a case?
  - MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.
  - MR. OBERTS: I think -- together with them?

Page 31 1 MR. STARR: Yeah. 2 BY MR. STARR: 3 Was it your -- in your experience or was 4 it your practice when you interviewed a witness that 5 you were going to bring to the grand jury -- were 6 detectives ever present for those interviews? 7 MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form. 8 MR. OBERTS: Objection, vague and 9 speculation. But go ahead. And foundation. 10 11 I would always talk -- I would THE WITNESS: 12 always talk to witnesses alone. That doesn't mean 13 that they weren't present at some point, but I would always make a -- talk to them -- talk to witnesses 14 alone so I can talk to them about their treatment and 15 16 those kind of things. BY MR. STARR: 17 And as a state's attorney in 2002, were you 18 19 familiar with your obligations under the Supreme Court 20 case of Brady v. Maryland? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And you were -- in 2002, you were aware that 23 Brady required prosecutors to disclose any exculpatory 24 evidence that they encountered, correct?

Page 32

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And as a prosecutor in 2002, you were aware that Brady required you to disclose any impeachment evidence that you may encounter in the course of your work on a case, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And as a prosecutor in 2002, you were aware that Brady required you to not destroy any material evidence, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And did you -- as a Cook County state's attorney, did you take the obligations of Brady seriously?
  - A. I did.
- Q. And did you adhere to the obligations that were required upon you by Brady when you were at the state's attorney's office?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. As an ASA working in Branch 66, did you independently investigate cases?
  - A. No.
- Q. As a state's attorney working in Branch 66, did you rely entirely upon the police investigation and the felony review prosecutors to provide you with

```
Page 33
 1
     the relevant evidence to present to the grand jury?
 2
              MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.
 3
     BY MR. STARR:
 4
         Q.
              I'm sorry, ma'am. I didn't hear your answer.
 5
         Α.
              Yes.
 6
              So did you have any --
         Q.
 7
              MR. STARR: And, Bill, this is not a question
 8
     about what her -- I don't think this is a mental
 9
     impressions question.
     BY MR. STARR:
10
11
              Did you have any discretion to seek specific
         Q.
12
     types of evidence as an ASA presenting cases to the
13
     grand jury?
         Α.
              I --
14
15
              MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.
16
              THE WITNESS: -- your question.
17
     BY MR. STARR:
18
              Okay.
                     Let me rephrase it.
         Q.
19
              As an ASA working in Branch 66, did you
20
     have the discretion to seek out evidence?
21
              MR. STEFANICH:
                              Objection, form.
22
              MR. OBERTS: Objection, vague.
23
              THE WITNESS: We -- we weren't investigating.
24
     I can't think -- I mean, in general, no. You were --
```

Page 34 I mean, if something came up, you would, of course, 1 2 not ignore things or -- but no. I guess I'm not sure 3 what you're getting at. 4 BY MR. STARR: 5 So I think you previously testified that as 0. 6 an ASA presenting a case to the grand jury, you relied 7 on the police who investigated the crime and the 8 felony review who determined that there was probable 9 cause to charge, correct? 10 Α. Correct. 11 And they presented you the evidence that 0. 12 you would then present to the grand jury, correct? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Did you have the discretion to ask for 0. 15 evidence that they may have in their possession? 16 MR. OBERTS: Object to vaque, foundation, 17 and speculation. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you're --19 I don't --2.0 BY MR. STARR: 21 Q. Okay. Let me try to rephrase it. 22 So when you present a case to the grand jury, 23 you only present certain pieces of evidence, correct? 24 Α. We only present witnesses and then, you know,

Page 35 whatever elements of the offense there are for the 1 2 indictment. 3 Right. 0. You're --4 Α. But --5 You're seeking an indictment; you're not Q. 6 putting on an entire trial, correct? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Okay. And is it fair to say that, as a Q. 9 state's attorney presenting cases to the grand jury, 10 you typically relied upon the information that was 11 provided you to be complete and accurate? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Did you, in your career, work in felony 0. 14 review? 15 I did. Α. 16 And as a felony review ASA, was it your --Q. 17 to rely on the underlying police investigations that 18 were brought to you to be complete and accurate? 19 Α. You cut out again in the beginning. 20 MR. OBERTS: You cut out. 21 BY MR. STARR: 22 Q. Sure. As a felony review trial -- or sorry. 23 Strike that. 24 As a felony review ASA, was it your practice

Page 36

to rely on the underlying police investigations that were presented to you to be complete and accurate?

A. I don't understand the question.

2.2

- Q. So when I asked you as a Branch 66 ASA if you relied on the underlying police investigations to be complete and accurate, I believe you testified you did; is that correct?
- A. And there had been an assistant state's attorney who went out and reviewed the evidence and talked to the witnesses. As a felony review assistant, you're going out there, and you're talking to witnesses and defendants if they want to be spoken to. So you're talking to these people again, so...
- Q. And when you were in felony review -- my question is, when you were in felony review, did you expect the information that was presented to you by the Chicago Police Department on any given case to be accurate information?

MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Yes. You would expect that, but you would talk to the witnesses yourself.

BY MR. STARR:

Q. And you would do that to -- in an attempt to corroborate the evidence that was presented, correct?

Page 37 1 MR. OBERTS: Objection to the extent it calls 2 for her mental impression. But to the extent she can 3 answer factually, go ahead, but not to provide her 4 work product. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 BY MR. STARR: 7 Counsel for the defendants asked you about 8 Detective Bogucki, and I believe you testified you 9 have no independent recollection of who that is, 10 correct? That's correct. 11 Α. 12 And so you can't -- as you sit here Q. 13 today, you can't tell us one way or another if you 14 interviewed him in advance of presenting the case to 15 the grand jury in the James Fletcher matter, correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Is that also true of Detective Ray Schalk? Q. MR. OBERTS: Object to form. 18 19 Go ahead. 20 THE WITNESS: I didn't see the transcript 21 of the indictment. I didn't have a copy of that. 22 So, I mean, that is the only detective I could say --23 I have no recollection of it, but that would be the 24 only detective I can tell you for sure that I spoke

Page 38 1 to. 2 BY MR. STARR: 3 That's Detective Schalk? 0. Okay. 4 Α. I don't know. I didn't see the transcript. I don't know. Whoever is on the indictment is the 5 6 person I can tell you I spoke to based on that 7 transcript, but I don't have a recollection. 8 So I'm going to ask you about another 9 detective that was referenced by counsel, a detective 10 by the name of Anthony or Tony Noradin. 11 Do you know who that is? 12 I don't. I'm not saying I never met him. Α. 13 I just -- I don't know. 14 And so because you don't have an independent 15 recollection of Detective Noradin, you can't say one 16 way or the other if you spoke to him in advance of 17 presenting James Fletcher's case to the grand jury, 18 correct? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 Q. And the last police personnel I want to ask you about is a sergeant by the name of Anthony 21 2.2 or Tony Wojcik. 23 Do you know who that is? 24 Α. That name is more familiar to me, but I --

Page 39 1 I don't really know him either. 2 And do you have any independent recollection 3 of Tony or Anthony Wojcik being involved in the grand 4 jury case of James Fletcher? 5 I don't. Α. 6 Because you have no independent recollection Q. 7 of Mr. Wojcik, you can't tell us one way or the other 8 if you spoke to him in advance of presenting the case 9 before the grand jury, correct? I can't. 10 Α. 11 You testified that you knew who former 0. 12 ASA Jennifer Walker is, correct? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Did you work with her on a regular basis to 0. 15 any extent during your time at the state's attorney's 16 office? I did not. 17 Α. 18 MR. OBERTS: Vaque. 19 Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe I worked with her 20 21 briefly subsequent to this. 2.2 BY MR. STARR: 23 Subsequent to this underlying case? Q. 24 Α. Right.

Page 40 1 Q. Do you have any professional opinion of 2 ASA Walker's work in the state's attorney's office? 3 MR. OBERTS: Objection. It calls for her 4 opinion, and she's a fact witness, not an opinion 5 witness. 6 But go ahead. 7 THE WITNESS: I would hold her in the highest 8 regard. 9 BY MR. STARR: 10 Q. Do you have any sort of relationship with 11 ASA Walker outside of the confines of the state's 12 attorney's office? 13 Α. I do not. 14 MR. STEFANICH: Objection. 15 BY MR. STARR: 16 What about a former state's attorney by the Q. 17 name of Aidan O'Connor, do you know who that is? 18 I do. Α. 19 Did you work with Ms. O'Connor? Q. 20 Α. Not directly ever. 21 And Ms. O'Connor was at the state's Q. 22 attorney's office during part of your tenure at the 23 very minimum, correct? 24 Α. Correct.

Page 41

- Q. Do you have any professional opinion about ASA O'Connor's work within the state's attorney office?
- MR. OBERTS: Object to the extent -objection as it calls for an opinion of this fact
  witness.

But go ahead.

THE WITNESS: She was well-regarded.

BY MR. STARR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And do you have any social relationship with Ms. O'Connor outside of the state's attorney's office?
- A. I -- I do not. I will tell you, though,
  that I had -- I've been invited places that, you know,
  she's also been in smaller groups. So we must have
  had some mutual friends.
  - Q. Okay. And then what about an ASA or former ASA by the name of Michael Clarke, do you know who that is?
    - A. I do.
  - Q. And did you work with Mike Clarke at the state's attorney's office?
    - A. No.
- Q. But was Mr. Clarke at the state's attorney's office during some part of your tenure?

Page 42 1 Α. Yes. 2 Okay. And do you have any professional Q. 3 opinion about ASA Clarke's work within the state's 4 attorney's office? 5 MR. OBERTS: Objection as it calls for 6 opinion of this fact witness. 7 Go ahead. 8 THE WITNESS: I -- I never -- I didn't work 9 with him at all. I -- no. BY MR. STARR: 10 11 And do you have any social relationship with 0. 12 Mr. Clarke outside of the state's attorney's office? 13 Α. No. 14 Okay. Cases that -- that were presented 0. 15 before the grand jury, how did you as an ASA get 16 assigned a given case? 17 MR. OBERTS: Did you say --18 THE WITNESS: You cut out at the beginning. 19 MR. STARR: Yeah. I'm sorry. My Internet is 20 just a little wonky today. I apologize to everyone on the Zoom. This hasn't been a problem lately, but I 21 22 guess it is today. So thanks for letting me know. 23 BY MR. STARR:

My question was, generally speaking, how did

24

Q.

Page 43

## ASAs get assigned cases at the grand jury stage?

- A. Normally, the supervisor would assign cases when they came in in the morning.
- Q. And did you have -- as a line -- I don't want to be incorrect here. Is line ASA an appropriate term to use for the position you had in 2002?
- A. Line ASAs usually refer to felony review.

  But yeah. As a member of the grand jury unit, yeah,

  or Branch 66 --
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. -- that's how they assigned cases.
- Q. As an ASA assigned to the grand jury unit, did you have any discretion on which cases were assigned to you?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. So you couldn't request to prosecute a case, correct?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Earlier, when I asked you about your Brady obligations, as an ASA working in the grand jury unit, those obligations applied to you just in the same way they applied to any ASA, correct?
    - A. Of course.
    - Q. Okay. So in prosecuting a case on the grand

Page 44

jury level, if you had encountered exculpatory evidence, you would have disclosed it, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And I know you don't remember Mr. Fletcher's case, but if you had learned of any exculpatory evidence in the -- during the time in which the case was assigned to you, you would have certainly disclosed that to the defense, correct?
  - A. I would.
- Q. And during the James Fletcher grand jury stage, if you had -- if you had encountered or learned of any impeachment evidence, you would have disclosed that as well, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And during the James Fletcher grand jury stage, you didn't destroy any material evidence, correct?
  - A. No.

MR. OBERTS: No, she did not, correct?

THE WITNESS: No.

21 MR. OBERTS: Objection, form.

MR. STARR: Yeah. Was it the way -- let

23 | me just ask it again so it's clear on the record.

24 BY MR. STARR:

Page 45

- Q. You didn't -- during the grand jury stage of Mr. Fletcher, did you destroy any material evidence?
  - A. I did not.
- Q. Okay. At any point during the James Fletcher case -- during the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever receive any information from any source that any Chicago police officer had improperly or unduly influenced Terry Rogers' identification of James Fletcher?
  - MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: No.
- 12 BY MR. STARR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And do you know that because if you had received that information, you would have disclosed that to the defense?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay.
  - A. No independent recollection, but I would never hold on to that kind of information.
  - Q. Right. If we have no record of you disclosing that type of information to the criminal defense, we can safely assume it's because you never received it; is that right?
    - A. That's correct.

Page 46

Q. Would you -- if -- strike that.

Mr. Rogers had a pending felony charge when he identified Mr. Fletcher.

Is that information that would have been made available to you as a -- as a grand jury ASA?

MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: It should have been, but I don't know in this case.

BY MR. STARR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

- Q. And if any deals or any promises had been made to Mr. Rogers in exchange for his testimony, would that have been made known to you as a grand jury ASA?
- MR. STEFANICH: Objection; form, misstates the evidence in this case too.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. I should have been if that was the case.

18 BY MR. STARR:

Q. And do you have any independent recollection of learning that there was a pending felony charge against Mr. Rogers when he testified?

MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I don't.

24 BY MR. STARR:

Page 47

Q. Do you have any independent recollection of learning that there were any promises or deals made with Terry Rogers at any point when he testified?

MR. STEFANICH: Objection; form, misstates the evidence.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. STARR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Do you know -- I'm going to ask you -I think I know what the answer is. But do you know
  a witness by the name of Edward Cooper? Do you know
  who that is?
- A. I saw the name in the material I was provided, but no.
  - Q. Okay. And I'll just -- for the record,
    I'll represent to you that Mr. Cooper was one of the
    victims in this case. He was the bread truck driver
    that was robbed in the underlying criminal case.

Does that help refresh your recollection at all as to who he is?

- A. I read it in the materials, but I don't -I don't -- have no independent recollection about him.

  Just what I read.
- Q. Yeah. And I understand that you don't have an independent recollection, and I don't necessarily

Page 48

1 think that it would refresh your recollection.

But I just, for the record, have to ask some questions to see what your answer is going to be. Just, you know, bear with me. I'm trying to make this as short as possible. I understand you're a busy person.

So do you know, ma'am, whether or not you ever interviewed Mr. Cooper?

- A. I don't. I don't think I was provided anything that I did, so I don't know if I interviewed him or not.
- Q. Okay. And as a general practice, would you typically interview the victims in a case that you're presenting to the grand jury?

MR. OBERTS: Speculation; foundation.

THE WITNESS: I feel like you generally would if they were available or...

BY MR. STARR:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. At any point while this case was assigned to you, did you ever learn from Edward Cooper or from any other source that the Chicago police detectives investigating this crime unduly and improperly influenced Edward Cooper to identify James Fletcher?

MR. STEFANICH: Objection; form, foundation.

THE WITNESS: No.

Page 49 1 BY MR. STARR: 2 And you said -- your answer was "no," 3 correct, ma'am? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Okay. And we know that because there's no --0. 6 there's nothing in the record to indicate that you 7 ever disclosed that you had learned that information; 8 is that correct? 9 The only way -- well, right. I would have Α. disclosed that information if it existed. 10 11 Okay. So because nothing in the record Q. 12 exists to demonstrate that, we can safely assume that 13 you didn't learn that, correct? 14 Α. Correct. 15 Q. And if at any point while this case was 16 assigned to you if the police had told you or provided 17 you with documentation that Mr. Cooper, the victim, 18 was unable to identify anyone, that's something you 19 would have disclosed, correct? 2.0 MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: Correct. 2.2 BY MR. STARR: 23 And if at any point in time anyone told Ο. 24 you -- whether Mr. Cooper, the police, or anyone

Page 50

otherwise -- that the police had shown Mr. Cooper a photo array and told him who to pick out of the photo array, if you had learned that, you would have disclosed that, correct?

A. Of course.

Q. At any point in time -- strike that.

If anyone had told you at any point in time, while this case was assigned to you or otherwise, that when Mr. Cooper went to view a lineup, the police showed him a picture of Mr. Fletcher before he went and viewed the lineup, that's something that you would have also disclosed, correct?

MR. OBERTS: Sean, you did freeze up again during that question.

MR. STARR: I'm sorry. Let me ask it again.
BY MR. STARR:

- Q. If at any point in time anyone had informed you that when Mr. Cooper went to go view a lineup, the police showed him a picture of Mr. Fletcher prior to viewing the lineup, that's something that you would have disclosed, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Regarding Ms. Friend, we looked at that transcript earlier that indicated that Ms. Friend

Page 51

- is a witness that you presented to the grand jury, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Are you aware, as you sit there today, that Ms. Friend has signed a declaration recanting her identification of Mr. Fletcher in this case?

7 MR. STEFANICH: Objection; form, misstates 8 the evidence.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. STARR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Q. At any point in time, did Ms. Friend tell you that the police -- strike that.

At any point in time, did Ms. Friend tell you that she told the police that she was unable to identify the shooter in the Willie Sorrell homicide?

- A. No.
- Q. And we know that -- we know that Ms. Friend never told you that because there's no record of you disclosing that, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And if she had told you that information and she had told you that she had told police she couldn't identify anyone, that's something you would have certainly disclosed to the defense, correct?

Page 52

A. I would.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. And the Brady obligation carries throughout the prosecution, correct?
  - A. It does all the way until sentencing.
- Q. And so if you are an ASA that works part of the case, in this example, works on the grand jury hearing and you later learn evidence that's exculpatory, you still have that obligation to disclose that information, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. At any point in time, did Ms. Friend or anyone else tell you that when Ms. Friend was presented with a photo array, she identified someone other than James Fletcher?
  - A. No.
- Q. If you had learned that Ms. Friend had initially identified some other person other than James Fletcher during a photo array, you would have disclosed that to the defense, correct?
  - A. I would.
- Q. Did you ever become aware that Ms. Friend was -- had to be arrested multiple times in order to testify during James Fletcher's trial?
  - A. I didn't.

Page 53 1 Q. Do you know --2 Sorry. I just want to make MR. STEFANICH: 3 my objection. Objection to form. 4 BY MR. STARR: 5 -- Fletcher was under arrest when she 0. 6 testified at the grand jury --7 Α. You broke up a little bit. 8 Q. Again, I apologize. 9 Do you know whether or not Ms. Friend was 10 under arrest when she testified at the grand jury? I don't think so, but I'm not positive. 11 Α. 12 I'm not -- I'm not positive. 13 If Ms. Friend had been arrested in advance 14 of her testimony at the grand jury, is that something 15 that you should have been made aware of? 16 MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form. 17 MR. OBERTS: Join. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 BY MR. STARR: 20 Q. Were you ever made aware that Ms. Friend 21 had criminal charges pending when she identified 2.2 James Fletcher? 23 MR. OBERTS: Foundation; speculation. 24 THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

Page 54 1 BY MR. STARR: 2 And do you have any independent recollection 3 of whether or not there was any promises or deals made 4 with Ms. Friend in exchange for her testimony at any 5 point? 6 MR. OBERTS: Speculation; foundation. 7 THE WITNESS: I think I answered that 8 question. The grand jury, she said no, but I wasn't 9 aware of anything. BY MR. STARR: 10 11 As a general practice when you presented 0. 12 a witness to a crime before the grand jury, would you 13 always ask them whether or not the police treated them 14 fairly and nicely? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. Did you ever have -- if you can recall Q. 17 in your experience -- did you ever have a witness that 18 you presented before the grand jury, when you asked 19 that question, say no, that they were not treated 20 fairly or nicely by the police? 21 MR. OBERTS: Foundation; speculation. THE WITNESS: I can't think off the top of 22 23 my head. 24 BY MR. STARR:

Page 55

Q. And in this case, if you asked Mr. Rogers if he was treated fairly -- strike that.

As a general matter of practice, would you ask those witnesses that in advance of putting them on the stand?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay. And so in this case, if you asked Mr. Rogers, did the police treat you nicely and fairly, and he told you yes, you'd have no way to know if that was true or not, correct?

MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: No. Just by their answer, not in the presence of any police officers or detective.

14 BY MR. STARR:

- Q. You'd have to rely on what they told you, right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And is that also true of

  Ms. Friend; if Ms. Friend -- if you asked Ms. Friend,
  in preparation for presenting her to the grand jury,
  whether the police treated her nicely and fairly and
  she told you they had, you'd have no way of knowing
  if that wasn't true, correct?
  - A. Correct.

Page 56 1 Q. You'd have to rely on what she told you, 2 correct? 3 Α. Correct. 4 Q. And you -- I think I asked you this. 5 I apologize if this has been asked already. 6 But you don't have any independent 7 recollection of interviewing Ms. Friend independent 8 of the police, correct? 9 Α. No. 10 MR. OBERTS: That's true, right? 11 THE WITNESS: No --12 BY MR. STARR: 13 You don't have any independent recollection 0. 14 of interviewing Mr. --15 Α. I have no independent recollection of any of it. 16 17 Right. And you have no independent Q. 18 recollection of interviewing Mr. Rogers independent 19 of the police, correct? 2.0 Α. I don't. Do you have -- I'm going to ask you about 21 Q. 22 another witness just here briefly. 23 Do you have any independent recollection 24 of another witness to this crime by the name of

Page 57 1 Emmitt Wade? 2 Α. No. 3 Do you know whether -- strike that. 0. 4 Were you ever made aware that another witness 5 to this underlying crime by the name of Emmitt Wade 6 was shown a single photo of Mr. Fletcher by the 7 detectives investigating this case? 8 Α. No. 9 Q. If you had been made aware of that, is that 10 something you would have disclosed to the defense? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Speculation. MR. OBERTS: 13 BY MR. STARR: 14 Were you ever made aware that police told 0. 15 Mr. Wade and Mr. Cooper that James Fletcher was a bad 16 guy and that they needed to help them convict him? 17 MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: No. 18 19 BY MR. STARR: 20 Q. If you had learned that information, is that 21 something you would have disclosed to the defense? 2.2 Α. I would. 23 When the state's attorney's office was in Ο. 24 the process of reviewing the question of whether or

Page 58

- not charges should be dropped or vacated against

  Mr. Fletcher when he had a pending post-conviction

  petition, were you ever asked any questions about this

  case?
  - A. No.

- Q. So you had no involvement in the PC proceedings; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Or you had -- and you also had no involvement in the federal habeas corpus proceedings; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Did anyone else ever interview you about this underlying case besides today sitting at this deposition?
  - A. Never.
- Q. I have to ask you a couple specific questions about your awareness of particular police misconduct that has been alleged in this case. The defendants in Mr. Fletcher's civil case may claim that the prosecutors had knowledge of their alleged misconduct.

And given your answers about your independent recollection, we may have to approach some of these as hypothetical. Like, as -- you know, if you had known

Page 59

this, would you have done this, which I've already asked you a couple times. But I just have to go through this briefly.

During the pendency of the James Fletcher criminal proceedings, were you ever at any point made aware that the Chicago Police Department engaged in any form of witness coercion?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. At any point during the prosecution of James Fletcher, were you ever made aware that there were promises made to witnesses in this case?

MR. STEFANICH: Objection; form, misstates the evidence.

THE WITNESS: No.

15 BY MR. STARR:

- Q. I apologize. I didn't hear your answer.
- 17 A. No.
  - Q. Okay. At any point during the prosecution of James Fletcher, were you ever made aware that there were threats made against any of the witnesses?
    - A. No.
  - Q. At any point during the prosecution of

    James Fletcher, were you ever made aware that the

    Chicago police fed facts to the witnesses to implicate

Page 60 1 Mr. Fletcher? 2 MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form. 3 THE WITNESS: No. 4 BY MR. STARR: 5 At any point during the prosecution of 0. 6 James Fletcher, were you ever made aware that the 7 police suppressed individual police reports? 8 MR. STEFANICH: Objection; form, misstates 9 the evidence. 10 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. STARR: 11 12 At any point in time, were you ever -- during Q. 13 the prosecution of James Fletcher, were you ever made 14 aware that the police included false facts in their 15 police reports? 16 MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form. 17 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. STARR: 18 19 At any point in time during the prosecution 20 of James Fletcher, were you ever made aware the police 21 fabricated evidence in the underlying investigation 22 of Mr. Fletcher and the shooting of Willie Sorrell? 23 Α. No. 24 Q. At any point in time during the prosecution

Page 61 1 of James Fletcher, were you ever made aware that the 2 Chicago police concealed exculpatory evidence? 3 Α. No. 4 Q. If you had been made aware of any of those 5 things, ma'am, would you have disclosed that to the criminal defendant -- defense attorneys? 6 7 Α. I would. 8 Can you think of any case in your career Q. 9 as a state's attorney that you were involved in, other 10 than this one, where an eyewitness identification was 11 made more than 12 years after the crime occurred? 12 MR. OBERTS: Objection; speculation, 13 foundation. THE WITNESS: I can't think of one, but --14 15 BY MR. STARR: 16 In your -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to Q. 17 interrupt you. What was the rest of your answer? I can't think of one, but I've worked on a 18 19 lot of cases. 20 Q. In your career experience, would you say 21 it's unusual to have a case where an eyewitness 22 identification occurs more than 12 years after the 23 crime occurred?

MR. OBERTS: Object to the extent it calls

24

```
Page 62
 1
     for her mental impression. But factually, if she's
 2
     aware of such, you can do so.
 3
              THE WITNESS: It's unusual.
 4
     BY MR. STARR:
 5
              In your career as a Cook County state's
         0.
 6
     attorney, other than this case, have you ever been
 7
     involved in a prosecution in which allegations were
 8
     made that the Chicago police unduly influenced
 9
     eyewitness identification procedures?
10
              MR. OBERTS: Objection; speculation,
     foundation.
11
12
              THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.
13
     BY MR. STARR:
14
              In your career as a Cook County state's
         0.
15
     attorney, other than this case, have you ever been
16
     involved in a prosecution in which allegations were
17
     made that Chicago police withheld exculpatory
18
     evidence?
19
              MR. OBERTS: Objection; speculation,
2.0
     foundation.
21
              THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.
2.2
     BY MR. STARR:
23
              In your career as a Cook County state's
24
     attorney, were you ever involved in a prosecution
```

```
Page 63
 1
     in which the allegations were that the prosecutors
 2
     withheld exculpatory evidence?
 3
              MR. OBERTS: Object to speculation;
 4
     foundation.
 5
              Go ahead.
 6
              THE WITNESS: Not that I can think of.
 7
     BY MR. STARR:
 8
              As you sit here today, are you aware that
         Q.
 9
     Mr. Fletcher was --
10
              MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.
     BY MR. STARR:
11
12
              -- on this case?
         Q.
13
              MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.
              MR. OBERTS: Sean, you froze.
14
15
              MR. STARR: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't realize
16
     that.
17
     BY MR. STARR:
18
              As you sit here today, are you aware that
19
     Mr. Fletcher was exonerated for the homicide of
20
     Willie Sorrell?
21
              MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form.
              THE WITNESS: I wasn't.
22
23
     BY MR. STARR:
24
              As you sit here today, are you aware that
         Q.
```

Page 64 1 Mr. Fletcher received a certificate of innocence? 2 Α. No. 3 And as a sitting judge, do you know what 0. 4 a certificate of innocence is? I do. 5 Α. 6 Could you give us what your understanding Q. 7 of a certificate of innocence is, ma'am? 8 MR. OBERTS: Object to the extent it calls 9 for a legal conclusion and opinions of a fact witness. 10 Go ahead. 11 THE WITNESS: Well, it's more than just 12 having charges dismissed. It's saying that, in fact, 13 the person was innocent. It's not just like having a pardon or dismissed charges. It's, in fact, 14 15 innocence, and not everybody gets a certificate of 16 innocence. BY MR. STARR: 17 18 It's a recognition by the state of Illinois Q. 19 that the individual was, in fact, innocent of the 20 underlying crime they were charged with, correct? 21 MR. STEFANICH: Objection, form. 22 MR. OBERTS: Objection, calling for a legal 23 opinion for this fact witness. 24 Go ahead.

Page 65 1 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding. 2 BY MR. STARR: 3 Does knowing that impact your opinion one way 4 or the other of James Fletcher's guilt or innocence? 5 MR. OBERTS: Objection as it assumes facts 6 not in evidence of an opinion. Objection to the 7 extent it calls -- the underlying question calls for her opinion and her mental impression regarding --8 9 regarding Mr. Fletcher's guilt or innocence. And also 10 object to foundation and speculation. 11 I'll join the objections. MR. STEFANICH: 12 THE WITNESS: Not at all. 13 BY MR. STARR: 14 Is that because you don't have any Q. 15 independent recollection of this case whatsoever? 16 Α. Correct. 17 But as a general matter, would you -- do you 18 assume that people who have been granted certificates 19 of innocence are, in fact, innocent? 20 MR. OBERTS: Object to speculation --THE WITNESS: Counsel, I'm not assuming 21 22 anything. 23 BY MR. STARR: 24 Q. Do you know one way or another whether any

Page 66 1 of the defendants in this case improperly or unduly 2 influenced any witness identification in this case? 3 Α. No. I think that's all I have. 4 Q. Okay. If it 5 would be all right with you, your Honor, I'd just like 6 to take a quick five-minute break and take a look at 7 my notes. And I think we can -- maybe Mr. Stefanich 8 may have some questions. But can we just take five? 9 MR. OBERTS: Sure. And Ms. Harris -- is 10 Ms. Harris going to have anything? We can still take 11 five regardless. I just wanted to know -- well, let's 12 take five, and Ms. Harris can tell us when we get 13 back. 14 MR. STARR: Okay. 15 (Whereupon, a break was taken, 16 after which the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 BY MR. STARR: 19 Ms. Lanahan, I want to show you just one 20 exhibit here. We'll mark this as Plaintiff's 21 Exhibit 1. I'm going to share my screen with you. MR. STARR: And for the record, this is 22 23 City JF 4566 through 4601. 24 BY MR. STARR:

Page 67 1 Q. This is four photographs, ma'am. 2 Can you see these photographs on your screen? 3 I do. Α. 4 Q. Do you recognize these photographs? 5 I see one photograph of a lineup. Α. 6 Yeah. I'm going to scroll through Q. Okay. 7 them so you can see all four. 8 Α. Okay. 9 Were you able to see all four photographs Q. 10 there, ma'am? 11 I did. Α. 12 Okay. And I'll just represent for the record Q. 13 the first two photographs are photographs of a lineup 14 of multiple people, and the last two photographs are 15 individual photographs of one person. 16 Is that a correct representation? 17 Α. It is. 18 Okay. Do you recognize the individual Q. 19 on your screen on page 4 of the exhibit, which is 20 City JF 4578? 21 Α. I do not. 22 Okay. And then just for the sake of Q. 23 completeness, I'm going to go to the next one, 24 which is 4577.

Page 68 1 Do you recognize the individual in that 2 photograph, ma'am? 3 Α. No. 4 Q. Okay. And then I'm just going to go back 5 to 4570 -- this is 4569, actually. I apologize. 6 And do you recognize any of the individuals 7 in the lineup that's in the photograph on 4569? 8 Α. No. 9 Ο. And then the last one is 4566. This is a 10 side view of these individuals. 11 Do you recognize any of these individuals, 12 ma'am? 13 Α. No. 14 Okay. Does seeing any of these four Q. 15 photographs refresh your recollection at all? Α. 16 No. 17 MR. STARR: Okay. Those are all the 18 questions I have. I really appreciate your time 19 today. 20 MR. STEFANICH: I don't have any follow-up 21 questions. 2.2 MS. HARRIS: I don't have any questions. 23 MR. OBERTS: Reserve signature. 24 (Witness excused at 11:19 a.m.)

	Page 69
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION
3	EASIERN DIVISION
4	JAMES FLETCHER JR., )
	)
5	Plaintiff, )
6	-vs- ) No. 20 CV 4768
7	JEROME BOGUCKI, ANTHONY )
8	NORADIN, RAYMOND SCHALK, ) ANTHONY WOJCIK, UNKNOWN )
9	CITY OF CHICAGO POLICE ) OFFICERS, and the CITY OF )
)	CHICAGO, )
10	) Defendants. )
11	)
12	
13	I, KATHALEEN LANAHAN, being first duly sworn, on oath, say that I am the deponent in the aforesaid
10	deposition, that I have read the foregoing transcript
14	of my deposition taken June 7, 2024, consisting of Pages 1 through 71 inclusive, taken at the aforesaid
15	time and place and that the foregoing is a true and
1 (	correct transcript of my testimony so given.
16	Corrections have been submitted
17	No corrections have been
18	submitted
19	
20	KATHALEEN LANAHAN, Deponent
21	
2.2	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO
22	before me this day ofA.D., 2024.
23	
24	Notary Public

```
Page 70
     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS)
 1
     EASTERN DIVISION
 2
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
                                      SS:
 3
     COUNTY OF COOK
              I, Emma Sheehy, Certified Shorthand Reporter
 4
     in and for the County of Cook, State of Illinois,
 5
 6
     do hereby certify that on the 7th of June, 2024,
 7
     the deposition of the witness, KATHALEEN LANAHAN,
     called by the Defendants, was taken before me via
 8
 9
     videoconference, reported stenographically, and was
10
     thereafter reduced to typewriting through
11
     computer-aided transcription.
12
              The said witness, KATHALEEN LANAHAN, was
13
     first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,
     and nothing but the truth, and was then examined upon
14
     oral interrogatories.
15
              I further certify that the foregoing is a
16
17
     true, accurate and complete record of the questions
18
     asked of and answers made by the said witness, on the
19
     date and time hereinabove referred to.
20
              The signature of the witness was reserved by
21
     agreement.
              The undersigned is not interested in the
2.2
23
     within case, nor of kin or counsel to any of the
24
     parties.
```

## Case: 1:20-cv-04768 Document #: 184-28 Filed: 05/06/25 Page 73 of 84 PageID #:6459

James Fletcher, Jr. v. Jerome Bogucki, et al. Deposition of Kathaleen Lanahan - Taken 6/7/2024

Page 71
Witness my official signature in and for Cook
County, Illinois on this 2nd day of July, 2024.
ADTC <sub>4</sub>
Eng ma Nach 1
Emma Sheehy, CSR
161 North Clark Street, Suite 3050 Chicago, Illinois 60601
License No. 084-004780

A	answered 25:3	asked 20:24	32:2,7 51:4	<b>Brady</b> 31:20,23
$\frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{A.D}}$ 69:22	54:7	21:13 36:4	52:21 53:15,20	32:3,8,13,16
	answers 26:5	37:7 43:19	54:9 57:4,9,14	43:20 52:2
<b>Aberdeen</b> 2:3	58:22 70:18	54:18 55:1,7	59:6,10,19,23	Branch 5:19,21
ability 19:8	Anthony 1:7,8	55:19 56:4,5	60:6,14,20	5:22,24 6:6,10
able 67:9	2:11,11 38:10	58:3 59:2	61:1,4 62:2,12	7:1,5,6,13,23
access 28:24	38:21 39:3	70:18	62:21 63:8,18	8:3 11:14 13:4
	69:7,8	asking 14:15	63:24	13:7 26:17,22
29:16,22,24 accurate 35:11	apologize 19:12	15:3,9,10,13	awareness 58:18	32:19,22 33:19
35:18 36:2,6	29:8 42:20	16:14 29:5,17		36:4 43:9
36:18 70:17	53:8 56:5	assign 43:2	B	<b>bread</b> 47:16
addition 28:6	59:16 68:5	assigned 4:19,20	<b>B</b> 2:19 3:12	break 66:6,15
addressed 22:16	appears 18:1	5:17,19 42:16	back 8:24 14:14	<b>Brian</b> 2:7 4:8
22:20	24:13 25:24	43:1,11,12,14	28:3 66:13	9:8 10:7 14:8
	applied 43:21,22	44:7 48:18	68:4	18:8,12 23:16
autici C J Z . 1 J	appreciate	49:16 50:8	backside 25:4	23:23
28:8 29:13	68:18	assistant 5:6,12	<b>bad</b> 57:15	<b>Bridgeview</b> 4:21
	approach 58:23	12:16 13:9	<b>bail</b> 14:16	4:23
37.1130.10	appropriate	21:2 25:22	<b>based</b> 14:21	briefly 39:21
55:4	43:5	26:21 36:8,11	18:24 22:15	56:22 59:3
	approved 5:23	assume 45:22	24:12 25:12,24	bring 31:5
aidi csaia dy.is	Approximately	49:12 65:18	38:6	broke 53:7
afternoon 6:7	5:14	assumes 65:5	Basically 6:14	brought 25:19
agreement	<b>April</b> 5:16,18	assuming 65:21	<b>basis</b> 39:14	30:19 35:18
70:21	8:6 11:2 13:2	attempt 36:23	Bates 9:16 10:7	bstefanich@h
	Arnold 8:17	attorney 5:6,13	13:20 18:8,12	2:9
12:1 17:20	array 22:11 23:8	12:14,16 13:10	19:18 23:16,18	<b>BURNS</b> 2:13
20:14 27:22	50:2,3 52:13	21:2 25:23	24:7 26:9	<b>busy</b> 48:5
28:17 29:3,23	52:18	31:18 32:12,22	<b>Bates-stamped</b>	
31:10 37:3,19	arrest 14:4,5,22	35:9 36:9	10:9	C
39:19 40:6	53:5,10	40:16 41:2	bear 48:4	C 2:1
	arrested 52:22	61:9 62:6,15	beginning 35:19	call 6:5,6,10,22
64:10,24	53:13	62:24	42:18	<b>called</b> 1:14 70:8
Aidan 40:17	<b>ASA</b> 12:5,7,13	attorney's 5:7	<b>behalf</b> 2:5,10,16	calling 64:22
alias 8:16	12:15 19:15	5:10,16,18	2:22	calls 11:10 19:6
allegations 62:7	20:10,19 24:13	29:14 32:17	Belated 19:11	22:14 26:24
62:16 63:1	26:2 32:19	39:15 40:2,12	<b>believe</b> 6:8 36:6	37:1 40:3 41:5
alleged 58:19,21	33:12,19 34:6	40:22 41:11,21	37:8 39:20	42:5 61:24
answer 6:13	35:16,24 36:4	41:23 42:4,12	<b>Bill</b> 18:10 33:7	64:8 65:7,7
8:11 16:3 19:7	39:12 40:2,11	57:23	bit 53:7	career 35:13
33:4 37:3 47:9	41:2,16,17	attorneys 61:6	Bogucki 1:7	61:8,20 62:5
48:3 49:2	42:3,15 43:5	available 26:17	2:11 4:9 17:10	62:14,23
55:12 59:16	43:12,20,22	26:22 28:9	20:10 37:8	carries 52:2
61:17	46:5,13 52:5	46:5 48:16	69:7	case 4:9 6:3,16
	<b>ASAs</b> 43:1,7	aware 31:22	Boulevard 2:8	7:5,6,13,22 8:6
1			I	

				Page 73
0.21 11.7 15	ahangas 52.21	aampliaatad	40.2 4 9 12 14	47.17.52.21
8:21 11:7,15	<b>charges</b> 53:21	complicated	49:3,4,8,13,14	47:17 53:21
12:8,14 13:18	58:1 64:12,14	9:20	49:19,21 50:4	59:5 61:6
17:13,13 18:20	Chicago 1:8,9	computer 8:12	50:12,21 51:2	CSR 71:7
23:2 24:6	2:3,8,14,17,20	computer-aided	51:3,19,20,24	currently 4:14
26:20 27:15,18	29:11 36:17	70:11	52:3,9,10,19	4:19
28:8,18 29:10	45:7 48:20	concealed 61:2	55:10,17,23,24	cut 8:11 23:23
30:3,5,8,12,15	59:6,24 61:2	conclusion 64:9	56:2,3,8,19	35:19,20 42:18
30:22 31:20	62:8,17 69:8,9	confines 40:11	58:7,8,11,12	CV 1:6 69:6
32:5 34:6,22	71:8	conjunction	64:20 65:16	D
36:17 37:14	circumstances	30:21	67:16 69:15	$\overline{\mathbf{D}3:1}$
38:17 39:4,8	27:14 28:11,14	consisting 69:14	corrections	
39:23 42:16	30:14	continuance	69:16,17	daily 6:6,10
43:17,24 44:5	City 1:8,9 2:17	6:22,22	corroborate	date 6:16,17
44:6 45:5 46:8	66:23 67:20	convict 57:16	36:24	14:23 70:19
46:15,17 47:16	69:8,9	Cook 1:19 5:6,9	counsel 3:19	day 1:21 69:22
47:17 48:12,18	civil 1:16 58:20	14:17 32:11	37:7 38:9	71:2
49:15 50:8	civilian 22:2	62:5,14,23	65:21 70:23	deals 46:10 47:2
51:6 52:6 55:1	claim 58:20	70:3,5 71:1	<b>county</b> 1:19 5:7	54:3
55:7 57:7 58:4	clarification	Cooper 25:5	5:9 14:17	declaration 51:5
58:14,19,20	16:17	47:10,15 48:7	15:11 32:11	defendant 2:16
59:11 61:8,21	clarify 29:8	48:19,22 49:17	62:5,14,23	61:6
62:6,15 63:12	Clark 2:19 71:7	49:24 50:1,9	70:3,5 71:2	defendants 1:10
65:15 66:1,2	<b>Clarke</b> 41:17,20	50:18 57:15	couple 58:17	1:14 2:10
70:23	41:23 42:12	<b>copy</b> 10:12	59:2	36:12 37:7
cases 4:22 5:22	Clarke's 42:3	23:12 37:21	course 6:3 32:4	58:19 66:1
5:23 6:1,14,15	<b>clear</b> 29:9 44:23	corpus 58:10	34:1 43:23	69:10 70:8
32:20 33:12	coercion 59:7	<b>correct</b> 4:15,16	50:5	defense 44:8
35:9 42:14	come 7:14,22	5:7,8 7:4,8,12	<b>court</b> 1:1 5:1,1,1	45:15,22 51:24
43:1,2,11,13	8:3 9:13	10:23,24 11:2	5:4 6:5,6,10,15	52:19 57:10,21
61:19	coming 15:9	11:4 13:11	7:14,19 14:15	61:6
cause 34:9	29:13 30:2	14:5 19:16,17	15:21 16:19	demonstrate
certain 17:14	commencing	21:2 24:14,15	17:13 26:23	49:12
34:23	1:20	24:23,24 26:18	31:19 69:1	Department
certainly 44:7	commonly 9:12	27:16,17 28:12	courtrooms 5:3	29:12 36:17
51:24	complainant	28:15 30:18	courts 1:17 4:24	59:6
certificate 64:1	10:16,22 11:6	31:24 32:1,5,9	<b>CR</b> 7:10	deponent 2:22
64:4,7,15	11:15	32:10 34:9,10	crime 34:7	69:13,20
certificates	complaint 9:4	34:12,13,23	48:21 54:12	deposition 1:13
65:18	10:2,13,23	35:6,7 36:7,24	56:24 57:5	3:13 9:10
Certified 1:18	11:1,22 12:17	37:10,11,15,16	61:11,23 64:20	13:14 18:6
70:4	complete 35:11	38:18,19 39:9	criminal 8:6 9:3	24:19 58:15
<b>certify</b> 70:6,16	35:18 36:2,6	39:12,13 40:23	10:2,13,23	69:13,14 70:7
<b>charge</b> 14:6 34:9	70:17	40:24 43:17,22	11:1,7,15,22	depositions 1:18
46:2,20	completeness	44:2,3,8,13,17	12:8 13:18	describe 5:21
charged 64:20	67:23	44:19 45:16,24	18:20 45:21	14:3
		<u> </u>		

				Tage 74
destroy 32:8	8:22 11:21	59:13 60:9,21	fabricated 60:21	67:13 69:12
44:16 45:2	12:2 28:7	61:2 62:18	fact 40:4 41:5	70:13
detective 17:10	doing 8:5	63:2 65:6	42:6 64:9,12	five 4:18 66:8,11
17:17 25:23	domestic 5:3		· ·	66:12
		exactly 6:19	64:14,19,23	
30:10 37:8,17	<b>Drive</b> 2:14	examination	65:19	five-minute 66:6
37:22,24 38:3	driver 47:16	1:15 3:3 4:5	facts 59:24	Fletcher 1:4
38:9,9,15	dropped 58:1	27:8	60:14 65:5	8:16,21 10:10
55:13	drug 5:1	examined 4:3	factual 15:4	10:13 13:21,23
detectives 4:9	duly 4:1,3 69:12	70:14	16:3	14:10,11 15:21
17:4 20:10	70:13	example 52:6	factually 15:3,4	18:15,16 19:2
29:12 30:5,21		exchange 46:11	15:4 16:3 19:7	19:19 20:8
31:6 48:20		54:4	37:3 62:1	22:10,12 23:18
57:7	E 2:1,1 3:1,12	exchanged 9:13	fair 19:1 26:3	24:1,8 25:3,21
determined 34:8	earlier 43:19	25:5	35:8	26:10 27:11
dharris@bur	50:24	exculpatory	fairly 54:14,20	37:15 39:4
2:15	easier 9:6	31:23 44:1,5	55:2,9,21	44:10,15 45:2
DHAVIELLA	EASTERN 1:2	52:8 61:2	<b>false</b> 60:14	45:4,5,9 46:3
2:13	69:2 70:1	62:17 63:2	familiar 31:19	48:22 50:10,19
direct 7:3	Edward 25:5	excused 68:24	38:24	51:6 52:14,18
directly 40:20	47:10 48:19,22	executed 14:15	far 9:10 15:5	53:5,22 57:6
disclose 31:23	<b>either</b> 6:14,16	14:23 15:9,10	16:1	57:15 58:2
32:3 52:9	7:10 39:1	15:20 16:10	February 5:11	59:4,10,19,23
disclosed 44:2,8	elements 35:1	<b>exhibit</b> 3:13,14	fed 59:24	60:1,6,13,20
44:12 45:14	Emma 1:18 70:4	3:15,16 10:9	federal 1:16	60:22 61:1
49:7,10,19	71:7	12:24 19:20	58:10	63:9,19 64:1
50:4,12,21	<b>Emmitt</b> 57:1,5	20:17 66:20,21	feel 48:15	69:4
51:24 52:19	encounter 32:4	67:19	<b>felony</b> 5:23 7:9	Fletcher's 8:6
57:10,21 61:5	encountered	<b>exhibits</b> 3:19 9:9	7:24 8:2 12:14	11:7 12:8,14
disclosing 45:21	31:24 44:1,11	9:13	12:16 26:16,16	13:17 18:19
51:19	engaged 59:6	existed 49:10	26:21 27:14,24	26:20 38:17
discretion 33:11	<b>entire</b> 14:9 35:6	exists 49:12	32:24 34:8	44:4 52:23
33:20 34:14	entirely 32:23	exonerated	35:13,16,22,24	58:20 65:4,9
43:13	entirety 10:19	63:19	36:10,14,15	Floor 2:3
dismissed 64:12	error 13:3	expect 36:16,20	43:7 46:2,20	folder 26:17
64:14	everybody	expect 50.10,20 experience 31:3	Fifth 4:20	27:15,19,24
<b>District</b> 1:1,1,17	64:15	54:17 61:20	file 7:14,19,21	28:6,10
4:20 69:1,1	evidence 23:18	extent 11:10	8:2 26:16	folders 28:2
70:1	24:1 26:10	19:6 37:1,2	27:15,20	follow-up 68:20
DIVISION 1:2	31:24 32:4,9	39:15 41:4	filed 11:2	following 66:16
69:2 70:1	33:1,12,20	61:24 64:8	fill 5:3	follows 4:4
<b>Dixon</b> 8:17	34:11,15,23	65:7	filling 11:21	foregoing 69:13
document 10:19	36:9,24 44:2,6	eyewitness	12:17	69:15 70:16
documentation	44:12,16 45:2	61:10,21 62:9	find 9:12	form 7:17 11:23
49:17	46:15 47:5	01.10,21 02.9	first 4:3 24:9	12:10 14:24
documents 8:20	51:8 52:7	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	29:5 30:7	15:22 16:24
uotuments 0.20	21.002.7		49.3 30.7	13.22 10.24
L				

				rage 75
17.10 10.11	52.0 12 20		21.15	2.2 0 14 20
17:18 19:11 20:3 22:13	53:9,13,20	gotten 15:21,21	21:15	2:3,8,14,20
20:3 22:13 23:9 25:15	54:4 55:19,19 55:19 56:7	grand 6:2,3 17:24 18:2,18	<b>happen</b> 6:9 16:19 24:23	64:18 69:1
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		70:1,2,5 71:2,8
26:24 29:20	Friend's 17:24	18:24 19:3,16	happened 16:6	impact 65:3
30:23 31:7	20:18	20:24 22:3	happens 9:23	impeachment
33:2,15,21	friends 41:15	24:6,14 25:13	Harris 2:13 66:9	32:3 44:12
36:19 37:18	front 8:21 19:3	25:19 26:4	66:10,12 68:22	implicate 59:24
44:21 45:10	22:3	27:16 28:8	head 54:23	impression
46:6,14,22	froze 63:14	29:11 30:2,6	health 5:1	11:11 15:2,6,7
47:4 48:23	further 70:16	30:15,19 31:5	hear 4:22 8:10	16:5 19:7 37:2
49:20 51:7	G	33:1,13 34:6	33:4 59:16	62:1 65:8
53:3,16 55:11	GALASSO 2:18	34:12,22 35:9	hearing 52:7	impressions
57:17 59:7,12	general 33:24	37:15 38:17	held 14:16 15:11	16:2 33:9
60:2,8,16	48:11 54:11	39:3,9 42:15	help 47:18 57:16	improperly 45:7
63:10,13,21	55:3 65:17	43:1,8,12,20	helpful 9:21	48:21 66:1
64:21		43:24 44:10,15	hereinabove	in-person 23:6
former 39:11	generally 9:17 28:2,23 29:16	45:1 46:5,12	70:19	included 60:14
40:16 41:16	30:5 42:24	48:13 51:1	highest 40:7	inclusive 69:14
forth 28:3		52:6 53:6,10	hold 40:7 45:19	incomplete
foundation 7:17	48:15	53:14 54:8,12	homicide 5:20	22:22
11:3,8,9,23	getting 34:3	54:18 55:20	30:14 51:15	incorrect 43:5
12:10 14:24	give 9:15 18:12	granted 7:10	63:19	independent
15:22 16:6,24	64:6	65:18	<b>Honor</b> 27:10	8:13 37:9
19:5,12,22	given 6:15 36:17	<b>GROUP</b> 2:18	66:5	38:14 39:2,6
20:3,13,21	42:16 58:22	groups 41:14	hour 1:20	45:18 46:19
21:3,7,18,21	69:15	guess 7:9,14	hypothetical	47:1,21,24
22:13,22 23:9	<b>go</b> 5:24 11:17	15:10,12,15,19	22:22 58:24	54:2 56:6,7,13
25:1,15 26:24	12:1 17:20	24:9 29:6,24		56:15,17,18,23
27:21 28:16	20:14 22:6	30:12 34:2	I I	58:22 65:15
29:2,22 31:10	25:21 27:22	42:22	ID 3:13	independently
34:16 48:14,23	28:17 29:3,23	guessing 17:3	idea 12:19 22:15	32:20
53:23 54:6,21	31:10 37:3,19	<b>guilt</b> 65:4,9	identification	indicate 49:6
61:13 62:11,20	39:19 40:6	gun 25:4,6	45:8 51:6	indicated 50:24
63:4 65:10	41:7 42:7	gunfire 25:5	61:10,22 62:9	indict 30:8
<b>four</b> 4:8 67:1,7,9	50:18 59:2	<b>guy</b> 57:16	66:2	indicted 5:24
68:14	63:5 64:10,24		identified 19:2	6:16
freeze 50:13	67:23 68:4	<u>H</u>	22:10 46:3	indicting 6:1
Friend 18:3,22	going 14:14	H 3:12	52:13,17 53:21	indictment 5:22
19:1,16,19	17:23 26:6	habeas 58:10	identify 9:11	6:4,18 7:3,11
20:9,24 21:6	31:5 36:11	<b>HALE</b> 2:7	14:8 48:22	35:2,5 37:21
21:13,20 22:9	38:8 47:8 48:3	<b>half</b> 4:18	49:18 51:15,23	38:5
23:4,13,15	56:21 66:10,21	handwriting	identifying 10:6	individual 60:7
50:23,24 51:5	67:6,23 68:4	10:17,18	<b>IDOC</b> 15:9 16:9	64:19 67:15,18
51:11,13,17	<b>good</b> 4:7 21:11	handwritten	ignore 34:2	68:1
52:11,12,16,21	27:10	10:19 20:18	<b>Illinois</b> 1:1,20	individuals 68:6
	<u> </u>			

				Page /6
68:10,11	investigated	<b>JR</b> 1:4 69:4	39:11	letting 42:22
influenced 45:8	29:11 34:7	judge 4:7,14,17	know 5:2 6:17	level 44:1
48:22 62:8	investigating	5:5 8:20 10:1	6:19,21 8:11	License 71:10
66:2	30:21 33:23	10:15 12:21	9:10,17 11:6	line 20:8,8 24:22
inform 16:18	48:21 57:7	13:4,6,6,22	11:20 12:2,5	43:4,5,7
information	investigation	14:14 17:23	15:16 16:7,11	lines 24:21
15:5 16:23	28:1,23 29:13	18:1 19:15	16:22 17:7,11	lineup 14:18
35:10 36:16,18	29:18,19 32:23	20:7 23:12	28:18 29:17	15:11 16:10,19
45:6,14,19,21	60:21	24:5,10 26:12	30:4,8,10	17:8 23:6 50:9
46:4 49:7,10	investigations	26:15 27:4	34:18,24 38:4	50:11,18,20
51:21 52:9	35:17 36:1,5	64:3	38:5,11,13,23	67:5,13 68:7
57:20	invited 41:13	judge's 16:2	39:1 40:17	listed 13:9
informed 50:17	involved 17:12	July 71:2	41:13,17 42:22	little 19:12
initially 6:15	28:22 30:5	June 1:21 69:14	44:4 45:13	42:20 53:7
52:17	39:3 61:9 62:7	70:6	46:8 47:8,9,9	LLC 2:7
innocence 64:1	62:16,24	jury 6:2,3 17:24	47:10 48:4,6,9	LOEVY 2:2,2
64:4,7,15,16	involvement	18:2,18,24	49:5 51:17,17	long 4:17 5:12
65:4,9,19	58:6,9	19:4,16 21:1	53:1,9 55:10	look 7:22 9:6
innocent 64:13	issued 16:8	22:4 24:6,14	57:3 58:24	66:6
64:19 65:19		25:14,19 26:4	64:3 65:24	looked 50:23
Inspection	J	27:16 28:8	66:11	looks 11:1
23:19 24:2	<b>J</b> 2:7	29:11 30:2,6	knowing 55:22	lot 61:19
26:10	Jackson 2:8	30:15,19 31:5	65:3	
instance 30:14	jail 14:17 15:11	33:1,13 34:6	knowledge	M
instances 27:19	<b>James</b> 1:4 8:6	34:12,22 35:9	58:21	ma'am 21:24
intend 9:11	10:13 27:11	37:15 38:17	known 46:12	33:4 48:6 49:3
interested 70:22	37:15 38:17	39:4,9 42:15	58:24	61:5 64:7 67:1
Internet 19:12	39:4 44:10,15	43:1,8,12,20		67:10 68:2,12
42:19	45:4,5,8 48:22	44:1,10,15	L	<b>March</b> 15:18
interrogatories	52:14,18,23	45:1 46:5,13	Lanahan 1:13	20:11
70:15	53:22 57:15	48:13 51:1	3:4 4:2,13	mark 10:9 12:24
interrupt 9:8	59:4,10,19,23	52:7 53:6,10	66:19 69:12,20	19:20 66:20
61:17	60:6,13,20	53:14 54:8,12	70:7,12	marked 3:13
interview 20:9	61:1 65:4 69:4	54:18 55:20	lately 42:21	20:7,17
30:17,20 48:12	Jennifer 12:5		LAW 2:18	Maryland 31:20
58:13	25:23 39:12	K	learn 9:19 48:19	material 32:8
interviewed	<b>Jerome</b> 1:7 2:11	Kathaleen 1:13	49:13 52:7	44:16 45:2
31:4 37:14	69:7	3:4 4:2,13	learned 44:5,11	47:12
48:7,9	<b>JF</b> 66:23 67:20	69:12,20 70:7	49:7 50:3	materials 47:20
interviewing	<b>Jimmy</b> 25:3	70:12	52:16 57:20	matter 13:11
29:18 56:7,14	jog 8:18	Kevin 13:5	learning 46:20	27:12 37:15
56:18	join 17:2 20:4	kin 70:23	47:2	55:3 65:17
interviews 31:6	21:4,9,23	kind 15:12	legal 64:9,22	mean 7:18 9:8
investigate	22:23 53:17	31:16 45:19	let's 10:8 26:7	9:12 15:8,11
32:20	65:11	knew 17:16	66:11	16:8,10 17:3,7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Page //
17:11 29:5,6	41:17 47:10,12	27:21 28:16	65:11	67:6,8,12,18
30:1,1,4 31:12	56:24 57:5	29:1,21 30:24	obligation 52:2	67:22 68:4,14
33:24 34:1	necessarily	31:8 33:22	52:8	68:17
37:22 61:16	47:24	34:16 35:20	obligations	once 5:23
member 43:8	needed 6:20	37:1,18 39:18	31:19 32:12,15	ongoing 28:1
memory 8:18	57:16	40:3 41:4 42:5	43:20,21	opinion 40:1,4,4
mental 5:1	never 38:12 42:8	42:17 44:19,21	occasionally	41:1,5 42:3,6
11:10 15:2,5,7	45:19,22 51:18	48:14 50:13	6:23,24	64:23 65:3,6,8
16:2 19:6 33:8	58:16	53:17,23 54:6	occurred 15:17	opinions 64:9
37:2 62:1 65:8	nicely 54:14,20	54:21 56:10	61:11,23	opposed 9:7
mentioned	55:8,21	57:12 61:12,24	occurs 61:22	oral 70:15
26:15	NOLAND 2:13	62:10,19 63:3	offenders 19:3	order 52:22
met 17:12 38:12	Noradin 1:7	63:14 64:8,22	22:11	outside 40:11
Michael 41:17	2:11 4:9 25:24	65:5,20 66:9	offense 35:1	41:11 42:12
Mike 41:20	38:10,15 69:7	68:23	office 5:7,10,16	overbroad 6:11
mind 10:6	· ·		· / /	7:16 8:1 11:16
mina 10:6 minimum 40:23	normal 6:5	<b>object</b> 6:11 15:3 16:4,6 19:5	5:18 29:14 32:17 39:16	/.10 8:1 11:10
misconduct	Normally 43:2	25:8 34:16		P
	North 2:3,19		40:2,12,22	P 2:1,1
58:18,21	71:7	37:18 41:4	41:3,11,21,24	page 13:20
misdemeanor 5:2	NORTHERN	61:24 63:3	42:4,12 57:23	14:14 16:18
	1:1 69:1 70:1	64:8 65:10,20	officer 28:22	19:18 20:7
missing 16:16	Notary 69:24	<b>objection</b> 7:17	45:7	24:9,21 25:21
misstates 46:14	notations 10:20	11:8,9,16,23	officers 1:9 4:8	67:19
47:4 51:7 59:12 60:8	notes 66:7	12:10 14:24	28:24 29:15,17 55:13 69:9	Pages 69:14
	notice 1:15	15:1,22 16:1		paragraph
MONICO 2:7	number 6:18 7:7	16:24 17:18	official 71:1	13:22
morning 4:7	7:10 10:9 12:24 30:11	19:11 21:7,21	Oh 9:3 63:15	pardon 64:14
9:14 19:13	12:24 30:11	22:13,21 25:9	okay 6:5 7:2,21	part 40:22 41:24
27:10 43:3	0	29:1,20,21,22	8:5,9 9:3,6	52:5
multiple 52:22	<b>O'Connor</b> 40:17	30:23 31:7,8	10:4,18,22	particular 58:18
67:14	40:19,21 41:11	33:2,15,21,22	12:24 14:7	parties 70:24
municipal 6:17	O'Connor's	36:19 37:1	17:6 18:14	PC 58:6
7:6	41:2	40:3,14 41:5	20:1,17,24	pendency 59:4
murder 13:24	oath 69:13	42:5 44:21	23:4,22 24:3	pending 46:2,20
14:3,6,16,22	<b>OBERTS</b> 2:18	45:10 46:6,14	24:12,21 25:12	53:21 58:2
15:17,19 19:3	2:19 6:11 7:16	46:22 47:4	26:6 27:6	people 10:13
30:10	8:1 11:3,9,16	48:23 49:20	28:11 32:11	17:8 30:11
mutual 41:15	11:24 15:1,23	51:7 53:3,3,16	33:18 34:21	36:13 65:18
N	16:1 17:2,18	55:11 57:17	35:8 38:3	67:14
N 2:1,13 3:1	17:20 18:8,12	59:12 60:2,8	41:16 42:2,14	People's 19:20
name 4:7,11	19:5,22 20:4	60:16 61:12	43:10,16,19,24	20:17
8:18 24:7	20:13,21 21:3	62:10,19 63:10	45:4,17 47:14	person 38:6 48:5
27:10 38:10,21	21:7,18,21	63:13,21 64:21	48:11 49:5,11	52:17 64:13
38:24 40:17	22:21 25:1,8	64:22 65:5,6	54:16 55:7,18	67:15
JU.27 7U.1/	22.21 23.1,0	objections 12:18	59:18 66:4,14	07.15

				Page /8
personal 11:10	29:12,15,16	29:10,15 30:15	prosecutor 32:2	really 9:19 39:1
personnel 38:20	32:23 34:7	33:12 34:6	32:7	68:18
		35:9 37:14	- '	recall 5:17 12:7
pertaining 1:17	35:17 36:1,5		prosecutors	
petition 58:3	36:17 38:20	38:17 39:8	31:23 32:24	13:6 18:22
phone 30:4	45:7 48:20	48:13 55:20	58:21 63:1	20:1 23:4
photo 22:11	49:16,24 50:1	previously	<b>provide</b> 9:9 15:6	25:16 53:24
23:7 50:2,2	50:9,19 51:12	27:13 30:16	32:24 37:3	54:16
52:13,18 57:6	51:14,22 54:13	34:5	provided 8:22	recanting 51:5
photograph	54:20 55:8,13	primarily 5:4	28:7 35:11	receive 24:20
19:19 20:2	55:21 56:8,19	<b>prior</b> 11:21	47:13 48:8	27:14,19 45:6
23:14 67:5	57:14 58:18	12:16 13:13	49:16	received 16:23
68:2,7	59:6,24 60:7,7	18:5 22:3	<b>Public</b> 69:24	20:2 28:12,15
photographs	60:14,15,20	24:18 25:13	pull 17:23	28:19 45:14,23
67:1,2,4,9,13	61:2 62:8,17	50:19	pursuant 1:15	64:1
67:13,14,15	69:8	probable 34:8	1:15	recognition
68:15	portion 26:3	problem 42:21	<b>put</b> 30:17	64:18
pick 22:12 23:7	position 43:6	problem-solvi	putting 22:3	recognize 67:4
30:3 50:2	positive 53:11	4:24 5:4	35:6 55:4	67:18 68:1,6
picture 26:6	53:12	procedurally		68:11
50:10,19	possession 34:15	15:15,16,19	Q	recollection
<b>pieces</b> 34:23	possible 48:5	Procedure 1:16	<b>question</b> 15:4,23	8:13 13:17
place 69:15	post-conviction	procedures 62:9	16:4 29:6 30:4	18:19 23:15
places 41:13	58:2	proceeding 24:6	33:7,9,16 36:3	24:16 26:8,12
plaintiff 1:5 2:5	practice 22:2,6	proceedings	36:15 42:24	37:9,23 38:7
27:11 69:5	25:13,18 30:17	12:21 13:1	50:14 54:8,19	38:15 39:2,6
Plaintiff's 3:16	31:4 35:24	58:7,10 59:5	57:24 65:7	45:18 46:19
66:20	48:11 54:11	66:17	questions 27:4,4	47:1,18,21,24
please 4:11	55:3	process 5:24	27:7 48:2 58:3	48:1 54:2 56:7
23:17	prelim 7:1,3,10	57:24	58:17 66:8	56:13,15,18,23
point 5:5 17:12	preliminary	product 15:2,6	68:18,21,22	58:23 65:15
29:13 30:6	6:17	16:2 37:4	70:17	68:15
			quick 66:6	
31:13 45:4	preparation	professional	quick 00.0	record 4:12
47:3 48:18	55:20	40:1 41:1 42:2	R	44:23 45:20
49:15,23 50:6	prepares 5:22	promised 21:14	R 2:1	47:14 48:2
50:7,17 51:11	presence 55:13	promises 46:10	ran 25:5	49:6,11 51:18
51:13 52:11	present 6:2,3	47:2 54:3	range 14:9 24:8	66:22 67:12
54:5 59:5,9,18	31:6,13 33:1	59:11	rarely 7:1	70:17
59:22 60:5,12	34:12,22,23,24	prosecute 43:16	Ray 17:17 37:17	reduced 70:10
60:19,24	presented 19:15	prosecuting	Raymond 1:7	refer 43:7
pointed 23:7	24:13 34:11	43:24	2:11 69:7	referenced 38:9
Polaroid 23:13	36:2,16,24	prosecution	read 19:9 47:20	referred 70:19
<b>police</b> 1:8 4:8	42:14 51:1	45:5 52:3 59:9		refresh 13:16
21:1 22:11	52:13 54:11,18	59:18,22 60:5	47:22 69:13	18:19 23:14
23:5,6 28:12	presenting	60:13,19,24	real 21:11	26:12 47:18
28:15,22,24	27:15 28:8,21	62:7,16,24	realize 63:15	48:1 68:15
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page /9
	1	1		
refreshes 26:7	retained 3:19	38:3 69:7	66:19	29:1,22 31:9
regard 40:8	return 21:15	screen 9:7,12,16	<b>showed</b> 50:10,19	34:17 48:14
regarding 50:23	review 5:23 8:2	9:20 10:1	<b>shown</b> 50:1 57:6	53:23 54:6,21
65:8,9	12:14,16 13:13	12:22 23:12	<b>side</b> 9:2 68:10	57:12 61:12
regardless 66:11	18:5,24 24:18	24:5,10 66:21	signature 10:15	62:10,19 63:3
regards 29:21	26:16,16,21	67:2,19	10:16,17 68:23	65:10,20
regular 39:14	27:14,24 32:24	scrivener's 13:3	70:20 71:1	<b>spoke</b> 37:24
regularly 17:16	34:8 35:14,16	scroll 67:6	signed 15:18	38:6,16 39:8
relationship	35:22,24 36:10	Sean 2:2 9:16	51:5	spoken 12:15
40:10 41:10	36:14,15 43:7	10:5 26:9	single 57:6	25:13,17 26:5
42:11	reviewed 11:21	27:10 50:13	sit 37:12 51:4	36:12
relevant 33:1	12:3 36:9	63:14	63:8,18,24	<b>spotty</b> 19:13
relied 34:6	reviewing 13:16	sean@loevy.c	sitting 58:14	SS 70:2
35:10 36:5	18:18 57:24	2:4	64:3	stage 43:1 44:11
rely 32:23 35:17	<b>right</b> 9:1 14:6	section 5:20	small 7:14	44:16 45:1
36:1 55:15	15:23 35:3	see 9:13,22 10:1	smaller 41:14	stamp 9:16
56:1	39:24 45:20,23	12:21 14:1,19	social 41:10	13:20 18:9,13
remanded 14:17	49:9 55:16	16:13,20 18:1	42:11	24:7
remember 6:19	56:10,17 66:5	19:21 20:12,20	<b>Sorrell</b> 51:15	stamped 19:19
8:5 17:9,10	robbed 47:17	21:16 24:9,22	60:22 63:20	26:10
22:24 23:1,4	Rogers 24:7,14	25:7,9 26:7	sorry 8:2,10	stand 14:17
28:2 44:4	24:16,22 25:3	30:3,5 37:20	18:11 33:4	16:10 30:18
rephrase 33:18	25:13,22 26:7	38:4 48:3 67:2	35:22 42:19	55:5
34:21	26:13 46:2,11	67:5,7,9	50:15 53:2	Starr 2:2 3:6
report 12:21	46:21 47:3	seeing 23:14	61:16 63:15	7:17 8:10,14
13:1	55:1,8 56:18	68:14	sort 7:21 15:16	9:8,18 10:6,11
_	· ·	seek 33:11,20	40:10	
reported 70:9	Rogers' 45:8	,		11:8,23 12:10
Reporter 1:19	routinely 28:7	seeking 35:5	source 45:6	12:18 14:8,12
70:4	Rules 1:16	sentencing 52:4	48:20	14:24 15:22
reports 28:9,12	running 17:8	sergeant 4:10	South 2:14	16:24 18:10,14
28:15 60:7,15	<u>S</u>	38:21	speak 22:2	19:11 20:3
represent 4:8	$\frac{5}{$2:1\ 3:12}$	seriously 32:13	25:18	21:4,9,23
12:13 27:11		share 9:7,12,16	speaking 12:7	22:13,23 23:9
47:15 67:12	safely 45:22 49:12	9:20 66:21	25:17,22 42:24	23:16,23 24:3
representation		<b>Sheehan</b> 13:5,6	speaks 15:12	25:15 26:24
67:16	sake 67:22	Sheehy 1:18	specific 33:11	27:4,7,9,11
representing	<b>Saturday</b> 16:19	70:4 71:7	58:17	28:5,20 29:7
13:10	saw 47:12	Sheenee 17:24	speculation	30:13 31:1,2
request 43:16	saying 23:1	18:3	11:24 12:11	31:17 33:3,7
required 31:23	38:12 64:12	shooter 51:15	16:1,5 17:1	33:10,17 34:4
32:3,8,16	says 10:15 13:2	shooting 60:22	19:6 20:13,21	34:20 35:21
Reserve 68:23	21:10	short 48:4	21:3,8,18,22	36:22 37:6
reserved 70:20	Schalk 1:7 2:11	Shorthand 1:19	22:14,21 23:9	38:2 39:22
respond 21:6,20	4:9 17:17,17	70:4	25:1,8,15 27:1	40:9,15 41:9
rest 8:11 61:17	20:10 37:17	show 19:19 26:6	27:21 28:16	42:10,19,23
	<u> </u>	l	l	l

				Page 80
44.00.04.45.10	12 4 12 20	1.60.7	1 22 5 25 14	l. <b></b>
44:22,24 45:12	12:4,12,20	suppressed 60:7	22:7 25:14	today's 13:14
46:9,18,24	14:10,13 15:14	<b>Supreme</b> 31:19	26:4 46:11	18:6 24:19
47:7 48:17	15:24 16:12	sure 9:15,22	53:14 54:4	told 22:12,17
49:1,22 50:15	17:5,22 18:15	10:8 14:10	69:15	23:6 49:16,23
50:16 51:10	18:17 19:14,24	15:13 17:11	thank 8:14	50:2,7 51:14
53:4,19 54:1	20:6,16,23	28:4 29:4 30:1	10:11 14:12	51:18,21,22,22
54:10,24 55:14	21:5,12,19	34:2 35:22	16:17 24:3	55:9,15,22
56:12 57:13,19	22:1,18 23:3	37:24 66:9	thanks 27:12	56:1 57:14
59:15 60:4,11	23:11,18,21	sworn 4:1,3	42:22	<b>Tony</b> 38:10,22
60:18 61:15	24:1,4 25:2,11	69:12,21 70:13	thing 14:4	39:3
62:4,13,22	25:20 26:9,11		things 31:16	top 54:22
63:7,11,15,17	27:3 29:20	T	34:2 61:5	traffic 5:2
63:23 64:17	30:23 31:7	T 3:12	think 9:20 13:2	transcript 13:1
65:2,13,23	33:2,15,21	take 32:12 66:6	13:3 14:21	13:2,10,13,16
66:14,18,22,24	36:19 40:14	66:6,8,10,12	15:12 16:15,15	14:9,21 16:18
68:17	45:10 46:6,14	taken 1:13,18	17:21 27:23	17:24 18:2,5
starts 20:8	46:22 47:4	66:15 69:14,14	30:24 33:8,24	19:10,18 21:10
state 1:20 4:11	48:23 49:20	70:8	34:5 47:9 48:1	22:16 24:6,10
13:10,22 16:16	51:7 53:2,16	talk 5:15 6:1	48:8 53:11	24:12,18,20
64:18 70:2,5	55:11 57:17	30:3 31:11,12	54:7,22 56:4	25:24 37:20
state's 5:6,7,9,12	59:12 60:2,8	31:14,14,15	61:8,14,18	38:4,7 50:24
5:16,18 12:16	60:16 63:10,13	36:21	63:6 66:4,7	69:13,15
13:9 21:2	63:21 64:21	talked 36:10	thought 15:2	transcription
25:23 29:14	65:11 66:7	talking 36:11,13	thoughts 15:1,5	70:11
31:18 32:12,17	68:20	tell 14:14 17:14	16:4	treat 55:8
32:22 35:9	stenographica	22:9 37:13,24	threatened	treated 21:1,11
36:8 39:15	70:9	38:6 39:7	21:14	54:13,19 55:2
40:2,11,16,21	Street 2:3,19	41:12 51:11,13	threats 59:20	55:21
	71:7	52:12 66:12	three 6:20	treatment 31:15
41:2,11,21,23	strike 35:23	70:13	time 5:15 6:21	
42:3,12 57:23	46:1 50:6	telling 23:5		trial 35:6,22 52:23
61:9 62:5,14		tening 23:3	11:14 28:9	
62:23	51:12 55:2	41:24	39:15 44:6	truck 25:5 47:16
statement 20:18	57:3	term 43:5	49:23 50:6,7	true 37:17 55:10
21:15 26:1	stuff 9:11	terms 29:17	50:17 51:11,13	55:18,23 56:10
statements	<b>submitted</b> 69:16	Terry 24:7 45:8	52:11 60:12,19	69:15 70:17
26:21,22	69:17	47:3	60:24 68:18	truth 70:13,13
<b>States</b> 1:1,16	SUBSCRIBED	testified 4:4 19:2	69:15 70:19	70:14
69:1	69:21		times 26:16 30:9	try 9:16 34:21
station 23:6	subsequent	27:13 30:16	30:9 52:22	trying 9:7,18
Stefanich 2:7	39:21,23	34:5 36:6 37:8	59:2	15:15 48:4
3:5 4:6,8 6:12	Suite 2:8,14,19	39:11 46:21	today 13:24	turned 13:20
7:20 8:4,15	71:7	47:3 53:6,10	27:12 37:13	two 6:20 67:13
9:15,22,24	summary 26:3	testify 52:23	42:20,22 51:4	67:14
10:5,8,12,14	superseded 6:18	testimony 17:24	58:14 63:8,18	type 45:21
11:5,13,19	supervisor 43:2	18:2,18 19:1	63:24 68:19	types 4:22 33:12
		I	I	I

typewriting	33:22 34:16	we're 14:15	59:14 60:3,10	24:1 29:8,24
70:10	39:18	15:10	60:17 61:14	31:1 42:19
typical 11:14	versus 10:13	we've 9:12	62:3,12,21	43:8,8 44:22
typically 6:9	veterans 5:1	weeks 6:20	63:6,22 64:9	46:16 47:23
35:10 48:12	victim 49:17	well-regarded	64:11,23 65:1	67:6
	victims 6:1	41:8	65:12,21 66:2	years 4:18 5:14
U	47:16 48:12	went 8:16 23:5	68:24 70:7,12	61:11,22
<b>unable</b> 49:18	videoconference	28:3 36:9 50:9	70:18,20 71:1	
51:14	1:14 2:1 4:4	50:10,18	witness's 24:7	Z
underlying	70:9	weren't 31:13	witnesses 6:1	<b>Zoom</b> 9:21
28:23 35:17	view 50:9,18	33:23	22:3 25:18	12:22 42:21
36:1,5 39:23	68:10	West 2:8	26:20 29:15	
47:17 57:5	viewed 50:11	whatsoever	30:17,20 31:12	0
58:14 60:21	viewing 50:20	65:15	31:14 34:24	084-004780
64:20 65:7	violence 5:3	WILLIAM 2:19	36:10,12,21	71:10
undersigned	<b>vs-</b> 1:6 69:6	<b>Willie</b> 51:15	55:4 59:11,20	1
70:22		60:22 63:20	59:24	
understand	W	withheld 62:17	<b>Wojcik</b> 1:8 2:11	13:14,16 10:9
16:15 29:6	Wacker 2:14	63:2	4:10 38:22	19:20 66:21
36:3 47:23	<b>Wade</b> 57:1,5,15	witness 3:3 4:1	39:3,7 69:8	69:14
48:5	<b>Walker</b> 12:5,7	7:18 8:2,13	wonky 42:20	10 3:14
understanding	12:13,15 20:10	11:4,12,18	work 8:5 9:17	<b>10:00</b> 1:21
15:17 64:6	20:19 25:23	12:2,19 15:8	15:2,6 16:2	<b>11:19</b> 68:24
65:1	26:2 39:12	16:7 17:3,19	32:5 35:13	<b>12</b> 3:15 61:11,22
unduly 45:7	40:11	17:21 19:9,23	37:4 39:14	<b>1600</b> 2:19
48:21 62:8	Walker's 40:2	20:5,15,22	40:2,19 41:2	<b>161</b> 2:19 71:7
66:1	want 5:15 36:12	21:10,24 22:15	41:20 42:3,8	<b>17</b> 24:21,22
unit 43:8,12,21	38:20 43:4	22:24 23:10,20	worked 17:16	<b>18</b> 11:2 13:2
<b>United</b> 1:1,16	53:2 66:19	25:10,16 27:2	29:12 39:20	<b>1994</b> 5:11
69:1	wanted 66:11	27:6,23 28:18	61:18	2
UNKNOWN	warrant 13:24	28:21 29:4,24	working 30:11	<b>2</b> 3:15 12:24
1:8 69:8	14:4,4,5,16,22	30:7 31:4,11	32:19,22 33:19	13:20 14:14
unusual 61:21	14:22 15:18,20	33:16,23 34:18	43:20	20:17
62:3	16:8,9	36:20 37:5,20	works 52:5,6	<b>20</b> :17 <b>20</b> 1:6 24:21
<b>upped</b> 25:4	wasn't 29:9 54:8	39:20 40:4,5,7	wouldn't 7:9	69:6
use 43:6	55:23 63:22	41:6,8 42:6,8	16:4	<b>2002</b> 5:16,18 8:7
usually 27:24	way 21:14 37:13	42:18 44:20	writ 16:9	11:2 13:4,7
43:7	38:16 39:7	45:11 46:7,16	writted 16:16	20:11 31:18,22
	43:22 44:22	46:23 47:6,10		32:2,7 43:6
<u>V</u>	49:9 52:4 55:9	48:15,24 49:21	X	<b>2004</b> 13:2
v 31:20	55:22 65:3,24	51:1,9 53:18	<b>X</b> 3:1,12	<b>2004</b> 13:2 <b>2024</b> 1:21 69:14
vacated 58:1	wboberts@ob	53:24 54:7,12	₹7	69:22 70:6
vague 6:11 7:16	2:21	54:17,22 55:12	<u>Y</u>	71:2
8:1 11:16	We'll 12:24	56:11,22,24	yeah 10:6,8 15:8	
29:21 31:8	66:20	57:4,18 59:7	15:15,24 16:7	<b>22</b> 20:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

			Page 82
<b>25</b> 5:14	8:3 11:14 13:4		
<b>27</b> 3:6	13:7 26:17,22		
<b>2nd</b> 71:2	32:19,22 33:19		
	36:4 43:9		
3	<b>6876</b> 14:10		
<b>3050</b> 71:7	<b>6877</b> 13:21		
<b>311</b> 2:3,14	<b>6880</b> 14:11		
312.243.5900	<b>6926</b> 10:10		
2:4			
312.341.9646	7		
2:9	7 69:14		
312.741.1024	<b>71</b> 69:14		
2:20	<b>7762</b> 24:8		
312.982.0090	<b>7765</b> 24:21		
2:15	<b>7767</b> 25:21		
<b>334</b> 2:8	<b>7768</b> 24:8		
<b>3rd</b> 2:3	<b>7th</b> 1:21 70:6		
4	8		
<b>4</b> 3:5 16:18			
67:19	9		
<b>4566</b> 66:23 68:9	9 20:11		
<b>4569</b> 68:5,7	93 26:10		
<b>4570</b> 68:5	<b>96</b> 23:19,24 24:2		
<b>4577</b> 67:24			
<b>4578</b> 67:20			
<b>4601</b> 66:23			
<b>474</b> 18:15			
<b>4768</b> 1:6 69:6			
<b>480</b> 19:19			
<b>486</b> 20:8			
<b>489</b> 18:16			
5			
<b>5200</b> 2:14			
<b>53</b> 2:8			
6			
<b>60601</b> 2:20 71:8			
60604 2:8			
60606 2:14			
60607 2:3			
<b>66</b> 3:16 5:19,21			
5:22,24 6:6,10			
7:1,5,6,13,23			